Application Form





Acronym IMEA

Title of the project Integrated Measures for an Energy Efficiency Approach

Type of intervention Type 1: 'Regional Initiative Project'

Type 2: 'Capitalisation Project'

Colour code

white field Fields to be completed by Applicant

grey field Fields not to be completed or data automatically transferred / calculated from another field

The Excel protection must not be removed.

Damaged Application Forms will be declared ineligible.

Table of Contents

Cover Page

General Instructions for filling in the application form

Checklist for submission

Section 1: Project Summary

Section 2: Detailed Description of the Project

- 2.1 Rationale
- 2.2 Policy context
- 2.3 Management and coordination

Section 3: Components

- 3.0 Component 'Preparation activities'
- 3.1 Component 1
- 3.2 Component 2
- 3.3 Component 3
- 3.4 Component 4
- 3.5 Component 5

Section 4: Budget

- 4.1 Budget overview by budget lines and components (in EUR)
- 4.2 Payment forecast
- 4.3 Specification of budget line 'External expertise and services'
- 4.4 Specification of budget line 'Equipment'

Section 5: Partnership

General Instructions for filling in the application form

This application form is part of the "application pack" for INTERREG IVC applicants. Before filling in this form, please also read all programme-related documents, especially:

- a) the INTERREG IVC Operational Programme,
- b) the programme manual and
- c) the terms of reference

In particular, the programme manual and the terms of reference will provide you with further guidance on the way to develop an INTERREG IVC project and to prepare the application form. The above mentioned documents are available on the programme web site (www.interreg4c.eu).

Paper version

Application form

The application form must be completed, printed out, dated, signed by a duly authorised representative of the Lead Partner, and stamped with the stamp of the Lead Partner's institution (if exists). It should be sent together with the annexes (co-financing statements) by mail/courier to the INTERREG IVC Joint Technical Secretariat (JTS) at the latest by the end date of the call. This will be checked through the date of postal stamp on the envelope. The JTS address can be found on the programme's web site: www.interreg4c.eu

Co-financing statements

Co-financing statements in original faxed or scanned version must be included in the mail sent to the JTS for all partners listed in Section 5 of the application form. The standard form of the co-financing statement available on the programme website must be used, no amendments to the text are allowed. Any amendments to the text of the form will lead to the ineligibility of the application. The amount of national co-financing indicated in the co-financing statements must cover at least the amount indicated for each partner in section 5 of the application form.

Electronic version

An electronic version of the completed application form must be sent by email to the JTS at the latest by the end date of the call at the following address: application@interreg4c.eu

The electronic and paper version of the application form must be identical.

Technical instructions

- Applicants are asked to complete only the input fields (white fields) of this application form. For technical reasons,
 most of the white fields have been split up into 2 or 3 sub-fields. As soon as the first sub-field is filled in, please
 continue in the next sub-field.
- Grey fields are not to be completed. For the grey fields, certain information will automatically be transferred to these sections after details are entered in other fields of the application form. Formulae for automatic calculations have been included in the relevant fields. These fields cannot be changed or edited.
- All input fields (white fields) are locked in order to ensure that all applicants have the same amount of space for describing their project and answering the questions. The number of characters (with spaces) is limited to 1000 characters per field. This limit must not be exceeded.
- All input fields must be filled in unless stated otherwise in the instructions field.
- Certain questions in the application form must be answered by marking a tick-box. When clicking on the box, an arrow will appear. Please click on the arrow and select the option provided in the drop-down field, which will appear on the screen.
- If you want to use a bullet point list in any of the input fields, please press 'Alt+Enter' to move to the next line.
- If you want to copy and paste information in the document, please select the **content of the cell you are copying from, and not the cell itself**.

Application forms with error messages or with uncompleted sections will automatically be declared ineligible.

Checklist for submission

Please make sure that you have fulfilled the requirements listed below before submitting the documents.

- 1. The electronic version of the completed application form is submitted via e-mail to application@interreg4c.eu by the end date of the call at the latest.
- X 2. The paper version of the completed application form and the relevant annexes (co-financing statements) are sent to the JTS in Lille by the end date of the call at the latest (proof of the sending date has to be provided).
- **X** 3. The electronic and paper versions of the application are identical.
- **X** 4. All documents are in the working language of the programme (English).
- **X** 5. The paper version includes the completed application form and the relevant annexes (co-financing statements) in one single package/envelope.
- **X** 6. The paper version is not bound in order to ease photocopying for the JTS.
- 7. Co-financing statements in original, faxed or scanned version are submitted for all partners including the Lead partner. They are dated and signed by hand. The standard forms from the application pack have been used and no amendments to the texts have been made. The information in the fields to be completed (acronym, title, name of institution, partner no) corresponds exactly to the information provided in the application form. The co-financing amount covers at least the amount indicated in the application form.
- X 8. All partners participating in the project, including partners from third countries, are listed in section 5 of the application form with their institution's name in original and English languages.
- **X** 9. The application form is dated and signed by hand by a duly authorised representative of the Lead Partner.
- **X** 10. The application form is dated and stamped (if stamp exists).
- X 11. The application complies with the eligibility criteria of the INTERREG IVC programme described in the programme manual and the terms of reference.
- 12. All fields have been filled in and no error messages appear.

Section 1	: Project	Summary
-----------	-----------	---------

1.1 Acronym: IMEA

1.2 Title of the project: Integrated Measures for an Energy Efficiency Approach

1.3 Type of intervention: Regional Initiative Project

1.4 Duration

Please indicate when the operation will start and finalise its activities. Concerning the start date, the following elements should be taken into consideration. Projects should be ready to start their implementation as soon as possible after the decision of the IVC Monitoring Committee and in any case within a maximum of two months from the date of decisions. Decisions on projects are expected to be taken within eight months after the end date of each call. For the recommended duration of projects, please refer to the programme manual.

Start: beginning of 01 2012 Closure: end of 12 2014 TOTAL N° MONTHS: 36

1.5 Sub-theme selected:

Please select the sub-theme the project focuses on. Please note that only one sub-theme can be chosen.

Priority: 2: Environment and risk prevention
Sub-theme: Energy and sustainable transport

1.6 Brief summary of the project

Please provide a brief summary of the project's background, objectives, partnership, main activities and expected outputs and results. It is strongly advised that this field is filled in after the entire Application Form has been completed. Should the project be approved, this summary will be published on the programme's website. Thus, it should be clear, self-explanatory and without references to other parts of the Application Form or to other documents.

Objective: IMEA aims to support local and regional authorities in taking a pro-active role in improving the energy efficiency of the built environment. IMEA focuses on Energy Efficiency. The implementation of EE measures is a European key challenge in the transition towards sustainable communities, in special in Eastern Europe and in deprived urban areas where a high percentage of the housing stock has a very poor energy performance. The IMEA-partners collaborate to enhance the effects of their strategic plans and policies to promote EE.

Sub-objectives

- 1. To exchange good practices that raise awareness on energy efficiency and energy efficient behaviour (tackling cognitive barriers);
- 2. To exchange viable business models that create local/regional economic spin-off (tackling economic barriers);
- 3. To bridge the gap between policy and implementation, to put policy into practice by developing change strategies and implementation plans with SMART projects;
- 4. To disseminate good practices to all public authorities in the EU and to facilitate exchange via a project website

Awareness raising: Energy consumption and energy savings are abstract phenomena for many citizens and organisations, they are often unaware of the benefits EE-measures could bring. Good practices for awareness raising will be exchanged.

Business models: To achieve EE, public authorities should take on a pro-active role as facilitator. They have to connect stakeholders and develop business cases that divide investments and risks over multiple actors, that take uncertain future energy prices into account and that are attractive to all actors in the value chain. Partners will exchange suitable business and governance models.

Policy into practice: Policy frameworks and support programmes for EE are available, on European and on national levels, but policy is often not put into practice. Implementation plans will be formulated in which good practices are

strategized', including project proposals that can be submitted within support programmes that are relevant in each partner region.

Energy Efficiency Change Strategies

How can public authorities, in relation to EE, move from a reactive to a pro-active position in a collaborative framework with multiple stakeholders? To make this 'abstract' challenge tangible and test various approaches, 6 complementary 'change cases' are formulated. Each partner will have a transnational team of 'critical friends' (from the partnership) at its disposal that will provide advice about institutional, strategic and practical steps that could be taken.

Partnership

Local and regional public authorities/organisations (NL, DK, PT, RO, HU) work in pairs with National Focal Points: Ministries and Knowledge institutions for which supporting local and regional authorities and dissemination are part of their core businesses. This ensures a large territorial impact.

	per of partners financed und	ler INT			s not financed und	der INTERREG
	Austria: 0 Belgium: 0			EU-MS: Switzerland:	0	
	Bulgaria 0			Others:	0	
	Cyprus: 0					5
Cze	ech Republic: 0					
	Denmark: 2					
	Estonia: 0					
	Finland: 0					
	France: 0					
	Germany: 0					
	Greece: 0 Hungary: 2					
	Ireland: 0					
	Italy: 0					
	Latvia: 0					
	Lithuania: 0					
	Luxembourg: 0					
	Malta: 0					
	Netherlands: 2					
	Poland: 0					
	Portugal: 2					
01	Romania 2					
5101	vak Republic: 0 Slovenia: 0					
	Spain: 0					
	Sweden: 0					
Uni	ted Kingdom: 0					
0	Total: 10					
	Norway: 0		Total num	ber of partners:	10	
Overvi	ew of project partners (deta	ils of th	ne partnership ha	ve to be filled in i	n Section 5)	
	Institution	country	/	Partne	r budget	
<u>LP</u>	Nicis Institute	NL	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
<u>LP</u>	Nicis institute	INL	156.079,53 €	52.026,52 €	0,00€	208.106,05 €
<u>P2</u>	Ministry of Regional Development and	RO	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
<u> </u>	Tourism		80.933,10 €	14.282,32 €	0,00€	95.215,42 €
<u>P3</u>	Oradea Metropolitan Area	RO	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:

66.368,34 €

106.652,90 €

-7-

ERDF Funding:

HU

VATT Hungarian Nonpront Limited Liability Company

for Regional Development

and Town Planning

<u>P4</u>

11.712,06 €

18.821,10€

EU Nat. Cofi

0,00€

0,00€

Other:

78.080,40 €

125.474,00 €

TOTAL:

P5	Mid-Pannon Regional HU		ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
Development Company			82.944,36 €	14.637,24 €	0,00€	TOTAL: Acronym: TOTAL: TOTAL: MILE 229.514.48 €
P6 Municipality of Assen		NL	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
	maniopanty or recon		172.135,86 €	57.378,62€	0,00€	229.514,48 €
<u>P7</u>	Danish Building Research	DK	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
	Institute		166.713,38 €	55.571,13 €	0,00€	222.284,51 €
<u>P8</u>	City of Copenhagen,	DK	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
<u> </u>	Urban Design Department		123.994,05€	41.331,35 €	0,00€	165.325,40 €
P9	GEBALIS – Management of Lisboa Social Housing,	PT	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
<u> </u>	EEM		205.875,42 €	36.330,96 €	0,00€	242.206,38 €
P10	Spatial Planning and Urban Development	PT	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
1.10	General Directorate		160.735,20€	28.365,04 €	0,00€	189.100,24 €
<u>P11</u>			ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P12			ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
1 12			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
<u>P13</u>			ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
1.10			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P14			ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
1.14			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
<u>P15</u>			ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
1 10			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
<u>P16</u>			ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
1.10			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
<u>P17</u>			ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
<u>P18</u>			ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
<u>o</u>			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
<u>P19</u>			ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
. 10			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
			-8-			

P20	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
1.20	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P21	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL: 0,00 € TOTAL: 0,00 €
121	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P22	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
-=	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P23	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00 €
P24	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P25	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
1.20	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P26	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
1-20	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P27	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
127	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P28	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P29	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
<u>.=-</u>	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P30	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
1.50	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P31	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00 €
P32	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00 €
P33	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
P34	ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:
	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€
	-9-			

P35	935		ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:	Acı
			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	Acronym:
<u>P36</u>			ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:	n: IMEA
<u> </u>			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	ΕA
<u>P37</u>			ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:	
			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	
<u>P38</u>			ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:	
			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	
P39	P39		ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:	
100		0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€		
P40	P40		ERDF Funding:	EU Nat. Cofi	Other:	TOTAL:	
			0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	0,00€	

1.8 Project budget (in EUR) (based on the partner budget described in Section 5)

	Amount
ERDF Funding	1.322.432,14 €
National Public Co-financing	330.456,34 €
Total budget eligible to ERDF	1.652.888,48 €
Norwegian Funding	0,00€
Norwegian Co-financing	
Total Budget Norway	•
INTERREG IVC BUDGET	1.652.888,48 €
Other funding	0,00 €
TOTAL BUDGET	1.652.888,48 €

1.9 Lead Partner confirmation

By signing the Application Form the Lead Partner hereby confirms that

- the project neither in whole nor in part has or will receive any other complementary EU funding (except for the funding indicated in this Application form) during the whole duration of the project.
- the project is in line with the relevant EU and national legislation and policies of the countries involved.
- all partners in the partnership receiving funding from the INTERREG IVC programme (ERDF or Norwegian funding) fulfil the criterion of a public body or a body governed by public law as defined in the Programme Manual.
- all partners described in Section 5 of the Application Form are committed to taking part in the projects' activities
- the information is accurate and true to the best knowledge of the Lead Partner

Signature of the Lead Partner		Official stamp of the Lead Partner's institution (if exists)
Name of the signatory	Mr. Henk	Wesseling
Title of the signatory	General [Director
Lead Partner's institution	Nicis Insti	itute
Date of signature		16-4-2012

Section 2: Detailed Description of the Project

2.1 Rationale

2.1.1 Brief history of the project

Please describe how the project idea and partnership was developed and how the partners were involved in developing the project proposal.

The initiative for this project has been taken by partners from the European Urban Knowledge Network (EUKN), a (not EU subsidised) intergovernmental network that facilitates the exchange of demand-driven knowledge and experience. "Urban" in this sense does not exclude regions, smaller towns and villages but indicates a focus on the built environment. The theme 'energy' is not yet addressed. Members of the network indicated that they struggle to determine how public authorities could be more pro-active in the field of energy, and that they are in need for exchange of good practices in this field.

A 1st partnermeeting has been held in Brussels (Nov. 2010), to discuss for which energy-related themes, exchange and advice would be most necessary. Conclusion was that this should be 'energy efficiency' (reducing energy consumption, stimulating energy efficient behaviour, insulation, saving energy by improving 'old' collective energy infrastructure systems). In a large part of the EU.

energy targets can still be achieved by taking measures in this field, especially in Eastern Europe and in deprived urban areas where a high percentage of the housing stock has a very poor energy performance.

In Brussels, partners identified interesting complementarities in the expertise of public authorities from new and "older" member states with regard to energy efficiency. Experience with innovative energy efficiency actions (e.g. awareness raising on energy consumption by using sensor technology, to make energy use, costs and savings visible in real-time) is predominantly available in the "older" member states. But newer member states have older systems that might be the key to large scale innovation. The collective heating systems in former Eastern European countries may seem outdated but by retrofitting (using new technologies in old systems) they may be perfectly suited for energy efficiency measures that need a collective effort. And the other way round: business models

for collective heating from former Eastern European Countries may be well applicable for collective innovative energy efficiency measures in residential areas in 'Western' Europe.

Based on the conclusions of the meeting, themes have been defined and the most experienced partners on each theme elaborated (draft) programmes for the exchange of good practices. Next to this, partners indicated the wish to receive targeted advice in their own region. Partner DBRI has elaborated the methodology for these 'change cases' (comp. 4).

The EUKN is a network that has proven to be successful and could be used very well by other (Interreg) projects to exchange on energy-related topics. An inventory has been made on other Interreg projects and contacts have been established with projects under development: it has been agreed that IMEA's project website will be used by other projects for dissemination and discussion.

A 2nd partnermeeting was held at 11 March, to finalise the application.

2.1.2 Problem description / issue addressed

Please describe what problem(s) and/or issue(s) the project will address. Explain the background of the problem(s) issue(s) and their relevance to the partnership and the INTERREG IVC programme. Please justify the choice of the selected sub-theme.

Energy efficiency (EE) is widely regarded as a crucial policy theme. It is recognized a simple, fast, relatively low-cost and low-risk resource to meet growing energy demand and reduce CO2 emissions. The EC "Action plan for energy efficiency" and the Directive 2010/31/EU on the energy performance of buildings" (EPBD) elaborate on the possibilities of focussing on the built-up environment, as buildings account for 40% of total energy consumption in the Union and have many-decade lifetimes.

Energy efficiency in the built-up environment is a relatively low-cost, high-value opportunity. However, economies of scale tend to drive most activity towards large-scale projects (public buildings, schools, hospitals). The residential market (housing) represents large potential savings but is disparate and fragmented.

Local and regional public authorities should play a key role in the realisation of the EU energy ambitions. However, this turns out to be challenging: a policy framework and technological solutions are available but the implementation of these solutions is strenuous.

Several barriers can be discerned:

Cognitive barriers: energy consumption and energy saving are abstract phenomenons, energy consumption is not visible, citizens are not aware of their level of energy consumption and the economic benefits they could gain when taking energy efficiency measures.

Economic barriers: energy efficiency measures ask for investments. Often, one has to do with a 'split incentive': the organisation (e.g. social housing organisation) that has to make the investment is not the organisation that benefits from it. Furthermore, investments in energy efficiency often have substantial direct (one-time) costs and uncertain future (recurring) benefits. This makes that households and organisations are often reluctant to make these investments.

How could local and regional public authorities deal with these barriers? The role they could play should not be underestimated, even when their financial resources are limited. They can use their 'authority' to inform citizens, social housing organisations and owners associations, to make them aware of the benefits of energy efficiency. Next to this, local and regional public authorities can play a role in bringing different stakeholders and their (financial) resources together, in connecting different policy domains and developing business cases for investments related to energy efficiency.

In the IMEA project, partners will exchange approaches to tackle these cognitive and economic barriers.

2.1.3 Objectives of the project

Please describe the overall objective and sub-objectives of the project.

Objective

IMEA aims to support local and regional authorities in taking a pro-active role in improving the energy efficiency of the built-up environment.

Sub-objectives

- 1. To exchange innovative strategies and tools that raise awareness on energy efficiency and energy efficient behaviour (tackling cognitive barriers)
- 2. To exchange viable business models that create local and regional economic spin-off (tackling economic barriers);
- 3. To bridge the gap between policy and implementation, to put policy into practice by developing change strategies and implementation plans with SMART projects
- 4. To disseminate good practices acquired via IMEA and other (Interreg) projects to all public authorities in the EU to ensure maximum territorial impact and to facilitate exchange via a project website that is connected to a transnational network (component 2).

Awareness raising

organisations are often not inclined to change their behaviour or take EE measures because they are not aware of the benefits this could bring (cognitive barriers). How to encourage them to change behaviour and to invest? Good practices (e.g. showing real-time energy consumption and cost-benefits by using sensor technologies) are identified and will be exchanged.

Business models

To achieve EE, public authorities have to operate in a complex domain with a large diversity of stakeholders (social housing organisations, associations of owners, energy companies, etc.). Financial resources of public authorities are limited, but they can still take a pro-active role as facilitator, in connecting stakeholders and in developing business cases that divide investments and risks over multiple actors, that take uncertain future energy prices into account and that are attractive to all actors in the value chain. Partners will identify and exchange suitable business and governance models.

Policy into practice – implementation plans with smart projects

Policy frameworks and support programmes for EE are available, on European as well as on national levels, but policy is often not put into practices and opportunities to benefit from support programmes are not sufficiently taken. In the final stage of IMEA, implementation plans will be formulated in which good practices are 'strategized'. Implementation plans will include SMART project proposals that can be submitted within support programmes that are relevant in each partner region.

2.1.4 Expected outputs and results of the project

a) Please describe the outputs and results the project intends to produce. For further information, please refer to the Programme Manual.

(**Outputs** are tangible deliverables and visible outcomes or products of the project. They directly result from the activities carried out in the project. Output indicators are typically measured in concrete units such as number of seminars, study visits, conferences, participants, publications, good practices identified, policies addressed. **Results** are direct and immediate effects resulting from the project and from the production of the outputs. Compared to outputs, results imply a qualitative value. They should be also measured in concrete units such as the number of staff with increased capacity, the number of good practices successfully transferred, the number of policies improved.)

Outputs:

Comp 1

- 6 SG meetings including minutes; Signed Subsidy Contract; Signed partnership agreement; IMEA manual (incl. project management, financial manual, detailed action plan); 6 joint progress reports submitted; 1 final report
- 1 IMEA communication plan; IMEA Logo; 1 IMEA brochure (in English, and translated in partners' languages); 5 issues digital newsletter; 3 events (Hungary, Lisbon, Brussels); 6 press releases; a project website (preceded by ToR, functional design, beta-version); 1 agreement on continuation, hosting and maintenance of project website after finalisation IMEA project; 1 IMEA position paper; Promotion and dissemination IMEA good practices at at least 27 events

 Comp. 3
- 11 events (Communities of practice including study visits, workshops of thematic working groups) to exchange experience
- 18 good practices identified (from each partner, also deriven from the change cases in comp. 4, and extra from the frontrunner partners that coordinate the thematic working groups coordinate the thematic working groups
- 3 regional/local policies and instruments addressed in the field tackled by the operation
- 1 Transnational study
- 6 implementation plans: one per partner region/city plus one extra Portuguese 'national level' implementation plan
- 1 Change case innovation toolbox

Comp. 4

- 6 change cases proceeded
 10 regional and transnational reports related to pro-active approach in Energy Efficiency
- 5 Change Case seminars organised 6 SWOT analyses for the Change cases
- · 6 consultation visits · 18 Local/regional stakeholders engaged to participate to achieve energy efficiency
- · 24 regional meetings · 16 staff members trained and guided

The result of the IMEA project will be that:

- Staff members from all partner organisations have increased capacity to take a pro-active role in achieving energy efficiency, to disseminate approaches for awareness raising and to elaborate relevant business and governance models with other stakeholders in their region.
- Stakeholders in the partner regions (housing agencies, businesses) are engaged to achieve Energy Efficiency measures via the ('learning by doing', guided by critical friends) change cases
- European local and regional public authorities and bodies governed by public law are facilitated to identify good practices and exchange via the project website on Energy Efficiency
- The pro-active approach will be mainstreamed in other local and regional policies, and will be effectuated in concrete projects, via the implementation plans that are composed formulated in each partner region.

b) Please explain the innovative character of the expected results in particular compared with possible INTERREG IVC running projects dealing with similar focus (see programme website for further information on running projects). For projects deriving from past INTERREG experiences (follow-up projects), please clarify the added-value of these results compared to the achievements of the previous experience.

Several Interreg-projects relate to 'Energy'. Projects like LoCaRe, RENREN and More4NRG focus on regional policy making and development of regional strategies. Other projects (e.g. RETS) focus on energy production and (more specific) renewable energies.

IMEA will focus on reducing energy consumption, more specific: EE in the built-up environment. This means a focus on how to achieve measures like insulation, improving 'old' collective energy systems, reducing the thermal losses of pipes and other energy infrastructure, stimulating energy efficient behaviour.

Obviously, good practices to be identified within IMEA and good practices from other projects are complementary. E.g. business models for collective renewable energy production (e.g. solar energy on roofs) could also be applicable for implementing EE measures. IMEA partners do not aim to 'reinvent the wheel'. Contacts have already been established with projects running and under development, e.g. 'Regions4GreenGrowth'

which will transfer regional policy instruments to improve access to finance for and speeding up investments in sustainable energy. If approved, IMEA partners will take advantage of the results of their inventory on finance schemes.

IMEA is also innovative in its partnership and strategy for dissemination of results. Local and regional public authorities work in pairs with 'EUKN National Focal Points': These are Ministries and Knowledge institutions for which supporting local and regional authorities and good practice dissemination are part of their core businesses. This ensures a large territorial impact: good practices identified by IMEA partners will not stay within the partnership but will be disseminated via a successful network. IMEA partners and the project website aim to fulfill this meta-information broker function not only for IMEA, but for all Interreg projects and projects from the IEE-programme.

2.1.5 Intensity of cooperation

Please describe the level of intensity of cooperation that you intend to achieve within your project (see chapter 2.1.1 of the programme manual). From fourth call onward, please note that mini-programmes are not possible.

The intensity of cooperation in IMEA can be characterised as medium: IMEA contains networking and exchange activities as well as piloting activities (the energy change cases) in component 4.

Networking/exchange

The core of the project is the development and exchange of knowledge. 10 partners, from 5 countries, are participating in IMEA. Meetings will be organised in every country. The CoP consists of a site visit, combined with meetings of the thematic working groups and where relevant, representatives of other European projects will be invited. Partners are willing to learn from each other and to strengthen their energy efficiency policies and projects with the use of new practices. Extensive and complementary expertise is available within the partnership. A few examples: the city of Assen has several good practices in the field of awareness raising, e.g. by organising competions, using sensor technologies and by communicating heat losses from roofs via web-based

interactive maps), from the partners of Hungary in the field of business models and the city of Copenhagen in the field of citizen participation to improve neighbourhoods (which good practices could also be applied for implementing collective energy efficiency measures). The outcomes will be disseminated by the project website, newsletter, and 'in person', actively, by the partners that act as NFP's of the EUKN for their country. Piloting activities

Within IMEA, 6 change case strategies will be executed. In these pilots, partners will explore how they could move from a reactive to a proactive role in the field of energy efficiency, with help from other partners. This comprises visits and advice by critical friends from other countries, thus intensifying the transnational exchange and building towards sustainable professional relationships. This exchange methodology will be presented on the project website in component 2.

2.1.6 Approach and methodology

Please describe the approach and the methodology (e.g. sequence, combination and interrelation between the activities; logic behind the different Components) proposed to achieve the project's objectives and to produce the intended outputs and results.

In IMEA, partners exchange good practices (comp. 3) and explore how a pro-active role can be taken in "change cases" (comp. 4).

COMP 3

Component 3 comprises a Community of Practice (CoP) and 3 thematic working groups. CoPs, meetings of working groups and change case seminars (comp. 4) will be combined and also be connected to steering group meetings (comp. 1), to save on travelling.

Working group Awareness raising

Coordinated by the City of Assen, being a frontrunner in this field. Planning: 1st year of the project (two workshops). The meetings will also provide inspiration for the partner-specific change cases in component 4. More info on topics in section component 3.

Business models

Partners are interested in models that divide investments and risks over multiple actors, and ways to make private capital funds available for all types of homeowners. Good practices available within the partnership will be exchanged. Additional good practices in relation to change cases of the partners (coordinated by Vati -HU) will be collected beyond the partnership in a transnational study.

Policy into practice – implementation plans with SMART projects

Final step is to translate the knowledge gained from the good practices and change cases into action. This is achieved by the writing of implementation plans (with chapter on follow-up for change cases –comp. 4) and development of project proposals that can be submitted within support programmes that are relevant in each partner region (annexes of implementation plans).

COMP 4: Energy Efficiency Change Strategies.

IMEA Partners have a common challenge: how can public authorities, in relation to energy efficiency, move from a reactive to a pro-active position in a collaborative framework with multiple stakeholders? To make this 'abstract' challenge tangible and test various approaches, 6 'change cases' are identified within component 4. The cases are complementary, each adressing

a different domain of the challenge. Each partner will have a transnational team of 'critical friends' (selected from the partnership) at its disposal that will provide advice about institutional, strategic and practical steps that could be taken. Their advices will be based on their own experiences and good practices. The methodology that will be used (explanation in comp. 4) will allow for transnational comparison and will result in a transnationan EE Change strategy innovation toolbox.

COMP 2: Communication and project website

Partners signalize —e.g. from participation in EUKN- a need for comprehensive information on good practices related to energy. At the moment, information is dispersed and where it is offered, it mostly does not come with (digital) facilities for discussion or asking advice. Therefore, important element of Component 2 is a project website for the dissemination of the results among other regions in Europe.

2.1.7 Components of the project
Activities have to be organised logically into a certain number of components. The description of these components is provided in section 3 of the application form. For further information on the components, please refer to the

programme manual.		
Component	Title	Preparation activities
'Preparation Activities'	Responsible partner	Nicis
	Title	Management and coordination
	Responsible partner	Lead Partner
Component 1	Planned results	A well managed project reaching its objectives, without serious conflict between the partners, without irregularities and with smooth reporting to the programme
	1	12
	Title	Communication and dissemination
	Responsible partner	Romanian Ministry of Regional Development
Component 2	Planned results	Good practices on taking a pro-active role in achieving Energy Efficiency successfully disseminated and exchange facilitated via a project website.
	Title	Exchange of experiences dedicated to the identification and analysis of good practices
0	Responsible partner	Gebalis
Component 3	Planned results	Good practices exchanged on taking a pro-active role in achieving EE, awareness raising and business models. Partners capacitated and ready to put implementation plans into practice
	Title	Energy Efficiency Change Cases
	Responsible partner	DBRI
Component 4	Planned results	Pro-active approach related to EE for public authorities tested and improved, staff members and other stakeholders capacitated to continue this approach in future policies and activities
Component 5	Title Responsible partner Planned results	
		-18-

2.1.8 Durability of the project results

Please describe how the durability of the project's achievements will be ensured. How, when and by whom will the outputs be used and how will they be maintained after the end of the project? How will the results/impacts of the project be sustained?

Durability is ensured in several ways:

First, a follow-up on the lessons learned from the change cases and the seminars, masterclasses and studies on awareness raising and business models, will be formulated in the implementation plans, to mainstream good practices from component 3 and to anchor the pro-active approach related to energy efficiency (developed and piloted in the change cases of component 4) not only in the mindset of staff participating in IMEA, but also in daily working practices of the organization, in policies, strategies and projects.. Partners aim to have the implementation plans as concrete as possible, by attaching SMART (Specific, Measurable, Acceptable, Realistic, Time-specific) project proposals to them. For this purpose, partners will invest time in developing these projects with stakeholders in their regions, to make sure that are supported and are in line with the ambitions of all organizations that have to

participate to achieve the objectives. Development of implementation plans in each partner region will be supported by the partners (Ministries/knowledge institutions) that are National Focal Points. They will stay available for advice and support regarding the follow-up of the implementation plans after IMEA, which also ensures durability of the project's achievements. The Portuguese National authority for Spatial management and Planning will not only assist Gebalis in writing an implementation plan for the city of Lisbon, but will even develop an 'extra', national level implementation plan with the aim to move all regional/local authorities in Portugual towards a pro-active role in achieving Energy Efficiency.

The partners will also jointly explore the possibilities for future cooperation, on new challenges related to Energy. This is part of the programme of the last meeting of the Community of Practice. Next to this, in the final stage of IMEA, partners aim to feed the European debate on Energy and Climate policy: they will formulate IMEA position paper, a joint communication from the partners towards European policy makers on how a proactive practice could be facilitated by European policy and support programmes.

2.2 Policy context

2.2.1 Contribution to the programme's objectives and to the Lisbon and Gothenburg agendas

Please describe how the project will help to achieve the objectives of the INTERREG IVC programme and contribute to the Lisbon and Gothenburg agendas. What added value will interregional cooperation bring in this context?

IMEA partners aim to improve regional development policies in the field of environment (more specific: energy efficiency), which is obviously in line with the objectives of the Interreg IVC programme and – in a broader perspective - the Lisbon and Gothenburg agendas and their 'successors', the EUs sustainable development strategy and the EU2020 Strategy.

IMEA could also have positive effects in the field of innovation, as well an important Interreg IVC objective, core of the Lisbon agenda and one of the cornerstones of EU2020. EU2020's flagship initiative 'Innovation Union' is aimed at refocusing innovation policy on major challenges for our society like (a.o.) energy and resource efficiency. IMEA could act as a driver for the market penetration of innovative products related to creating energy efficiency.

Examples: development of innovative products to create awareness on EE by showing real-time energy consumption and cost-benefits (e.g. 'smart meter cupboards' that reflect energy use of individual household equipments on door and warn if e.g. door of fridge is open), development of innovative products related to insulation. If good practices from certain partner regions are eventually put into practice in other regions, local markets (private, public, knowledge institutions) will be stimulated and their innovative capacity will increase.

Next to the above mentioned opportunities for product innovation, process innovation will take place: for public authorities, achieving that 'third parties' take Energy Efficiency measures whilst not (sufficiently) being able to support with financial resources, demands innovation in their governance.

This is what IMEA aims to achieve, to reorient the mindset and activities of public authorities towards taking a pro-active approach in cooperation with other stakeholders.

Some of the IMEA's good practices will also have a social employment dimension, in line with one of EU2020's priorities 'inclusive' growth. Example: in Lisbon are people from the community empowered, trained and contracted to implement EE measures that are agreed jointly.

Interregional cooperation brings added value as partners do not have to reinvent the wheel. They benefit from good practices from others and can transfer them efficiently within their regions. This efficiency is important as there is a large sense of urgency within the regions. E.g. a large part of the housing stock in the cities from our Eastern European partners is in a poor condition and urban regeneration projects will be implemented within short notice. It is vital to integrate EE measures by now, they should not be left to a later stage. The IMEA project will help to set the approaches quickly and thoroughly with assistance from the international partners. The partners' package of expertise (on e.g. business models, awareness raising and incorporating a social dimension) is complementary for this purpose.

-		
	2.2.2 Involvement of the relevant policy makers For the Capitalisation Projects, please explain how, in each participating region, the relevant bodies responsible for the Operational Programme will be involved? These explanations will be complementary to the information provided in section 5 ('Relevance of the partner'). For further information, please refer to the programme manual.	Acronym: IIVIEA
		IMEA
	-21-	

2.2.2 Consistency of the project with ELI harizental policies	
2.2.3 Consistency of the project with EU horizontal policies a) Equal opportunities	
Please state if the project will:	3
have its main focus on equal opportunities	ACIONYIII.
be positive in terms of equal opportunities	y III
X be neutral in terms of equal opportunities	.
be fleutral in terms of equal opportunities	Ī
b) Environmental sustainability	
Please state if the project will:	
have its main focus on environmental sustainability	
be positive in terms of environmental sustainability	
be neutral in terms of environmental sustainability	
c) Consistency of the project's theme with EU horizontal policies	
Please explain how the theme tackled by your project will address directly or indirectly each of the two EU horizontal	
policies.	
Environmental sustainability	
IMEA is submitted within priority Environment and Risk-prevention, sub-theme energy, which will address directly the EU horizontal policy on	
environmental sustainability. IMEA addresses the challenge faced by cities and regions of being responsible for reaching environmental sustainability, CO2 reduction and energy efficiency, while lacking the financial resources to fund large scale investments needed to isolate housing	
stocks or to develop the sustainable energy production infrastructure. On European level binding agreements have been made. The EC 'Action plan	
for energy efficiency' and the	
Division 2040/04/5U (as the construction of buildings) (FDDD) also as the constitution of forces in the built against a	
Directive 2010/31/EU 'on the energy performance of buildings' (EPBD) elaborate on the possibilities of focussing on the built environment, as buildings account for 40% of total energy consumption in the Union and have many-decade lifetimes. Local and regional public authorities are	
supposed to play a key role in the realisation of the EU's ambitions related to energy. However, public authorities cannot reach the targets by	
themselves. Providing a policy framework and applying legislative instruments is not sufficient. Public authorities are in search how they could take a more pro-active role in achieving energy efficiency and thereby contributing to environmental sustainability. By IMEA, they expect to identify good	
practices that can be mainstreamed into their activities to mobilize other stakeholders (housing agencies, citizens etc.) to take EE measures that	
contribute to achieving targets.	
Horizontal policies	
IMEA's theme is gender neutral. However, the project may have some indirect positive effect on equal opportunities with regard to ethnic origin. Different ethnic groups in e.g. deprived urban areas ask for targeted approaches and one of the change cases addresses the question how to	
empower these groups in the field of energy efficiency and have them benefit from collective measures, not only by individual cost savings, but also	
by jointly deciding to transfer revenues to common amenities (e.g. change case Gebalis, Lisbon, PT).	

-22-

_
➣
C
ᄌ
ĭ
⋜
∃
∹
=
≤
Ш
➣

d) Consistency of the project's implementation with EU horizontal policies Please explain how the day-to-day implementation of your project may address equal opportunities and how it may impact the environment. Partners will include the compliance with the horizontal policies in their partnership agreement and the project manual, to ensure that equal opportunities are addressed if recruiting staff and issuing tenders for the project, and in daily operations. Equally, calls for tenders will be published with respect to equal opportunities and corporate social responsibility policy will be taken into account. Travelling is essential to exchange and establish sustainable professional friendships. However, to save on travelling, Steering Groups, Communities of Practice thematic meetings and seminars will be combined as much as possible. The partners ensure accessibility to all chosen venues for partner meetings and public events and support for people with particular access requirements. Partners will travel by public transport where feasible. During their meetings, partners also aim to offer meals with local and regional products, to reduce the number of food miles. In addition to face to face exchange, (next to regular telephone and e-mail contact), teleconferencing will be used for additional consultations. To prevent printing as much as possible, all documents produced will be digitally available via the website. If paper copies of project deliverables are produced, it will be ensured that these are printed on recycled and chlorine-free paper.

2.3 Management and coor	dination			
2.3.1 Coordinationa) Will coordination be sub-b) Details of the coordinator		no]	ACIONYIII. IIVIEA
,	Fleur Boulogne			y
	Nicis Institute			
Address	Laan van Nieuw Oost Indië 30	00		
Postal code				
	The Hague			
Country		1 / \		
` ` `	0031 (0) 70 3440888	(mobile)		
	0031 (0) 70 3440967			
Email	imea@nicis.nl			
experience with managing on a day-to-day realized in time via the tangible outputs debeen established consisting out of a project quality project management. The project s	y basis complex (European) projects. As lescribed in this application form. Nicis will ct supervisor, a project coordinator, a finasupervisor has experience with European with the IVC Secretariat an dwill be response.	ead applicant Nicion organize and facil ncial manager and project managemensible for the day t	programme requirements. Nicis Ihas extended is will ensure that the projects objectives are litate the reporting process. A project team has dia project assistant. This team ensures high ent and will manage the overall quality of the olday management of the project and liaise will be a support of the project and liaise and liaise will be a support of the project and liaise and liais	
	ns. All members of the project team work onsist out of: preparation of documents an	with the system T nd arrangements for	imetell, which ensures a proper registration of or Steering Group meetings, preparation of the	
	The SG oversees the progress of the project ar (1		Steering Group and each partners is represente ts delivery as set out in the application form.	;d
decide to take alternative measures or inte measures, prior to implementing these inte		ct delivery. The JT	S will be consulted on these alternative	-
 The coordinator will closely cooperate with the timely implementation of dedicated tas 	with the partners responsible for the composes, reporting and the delivery of planned structure cooperation between partners. Feedback is provided to the supervisor, v	onents. On an ind outputs. A (signed In addition, the co	nity of Practice and working groups) and comp ividual level, project partners are responsible for partnership agreement will form the official ordinator and assistant will be closely involved at the IMEA website can be linked to the	

-24-

2.3.2 Financial management		
a) Will financial management be sub-contracted?	no	
o) Details of the Financial Manager		
Name Nellie Boot		
Institution Nicis Institute		
Address Laan van Nieuw Oost Indië	300	
Postal code 2593 CE		
Town The Hague		
Country NL Phone (office) 0031 (0) 70 3494380	(mobile)	
Fax 0031 (0) 70 3440967	(mobile)	
Email Nellie.Boot@Nicis.nl		
Email Tomo. Dool@ Triolo.11		
c) Financial management		
Please describe the main tasks of the financial manager and th	e way the day-to-day financial manager	nent of the
project will be organised.		
The financial manager ensures a transparent financial management of the project o		
administration will be set up. The financial manager will prepare the financial pa responsibilities include:	nt or the overall progress reports and the illiancial	report. Specific
Transfer or ERDF to the project partners after receipt based on the approved of	overall financial report	
Periodic financial payment claims (and reports)		
The financial manager will cooperate closely with the project super visor and pro and preparing the Steering Group meetings. If necessary the financial manager		
The Financial Manager will set up a project joint bank account and is responsible		
pased on a sound financial project administration and bookkeeping system.		
The financial management will be built upon the following basic principles:		
 Definition of objectives of the internal control system to be developed in completevant EU regulations (eligibility rules, tender procedures) 	ance with the project plan (realisation of outputs a	and results) and
Design of internal control system, including design of procedures, checklists, r		egistration sheets
Implementation of internal control system and briefing of respective finance off Day-to-day financial management, with an emphasis on the 6-monthly claims of		nator for trouble-
shooting		
Each partner is responsible for reporting per semester to the Lead Partner its condependent controller.	ists occurred in the respective reporting period and	d certified by an
nasponasin controllor.		
The Lead partner will then incorporate each partner report in the overall progres ensured by clear communication of internal dates and deadlines. The internal or		
and in full compliance with all applicable conditions stated in the subsidy contra		ŕ
All budgets have been constructed bottom up in the preparation phase, with tail	or made figures and detailed involvement of the lo	ocal financial
managers. This forms a sound basis for a quick start-up after approval. In the 1		
evel shall be in concordance with the Interreg IVC demands.		

	٦	_
	ć	5
	5	3
,	Ξ	3
	Ξ	₹
	=	•
	ξ	5
	Ē	Ī
	כ	Þ

2.3.3 Strategic level

Please describe the decision-making, monitoring and evaluation structure of the project, including the composition of the steering group, its competences and procedures.

IMEA will set up a coherent and sound project-managment-system will be set-up in order to ensure an efficient and solid operational management. Leadpartner NICIS will ensure that all other partners are adequately informed on procedures that have to be followed and will provide assistance where necessary. For this purpose, an IMEA project manual will be composed in the 1st semester of the project.

IMEA's Steering Group (SG) is responsible for joint decision-making and monitoring of the progress of IMEA and will take decisions on overall administrative and financial issues. All partners will be represented in the SG, the SG will meet once per semester (twice in the 1st semester to start up the project). IMEA partners strive to take decisions by consensus. If this is not possible, decision-making will take place by majority voting of the partners present. The cases where decision making by two-thirds majority applies will be specified in the partnership agreement. Each delegation speaks with one voice.

If changes of the original project application are necessary (e.g. changes on activities or budget-line modifications) which have to be submitted to and approved by the JTS / the INTERREG IV C Monitoring Committee, decision-making will take place in the SG.

Other tasks of the SG (elaborated in partnership agreement) include:

- · decision on the internal use of deadline for individual activity and financial report (audited) to the leadpartner,
- general conditions for the exchange of experiences, events, seminars and related planning (coordinated by leadpartner and leader component 3 Gebalis)
- Monitoring of implementation of the communication plan, input for ongoing update of this plan (relevant events where an IMEA delegation should be present, etc.)

In the 3rd meeting of the SG, partners will evaluate their way of working and internal/external communication. For this purpose, a questionnaire will be sent out prior to the meeting, coordinated by the leadpartner. Based on the outcomes, procedures will be adjusted where necessary.

2.3.4 Roles and tasks among the partners

Please describe if there is any division of roles and tasks among the partners as far as coordination is concerned (e.g. partners responsible for administrative and/or financial tasks, components and/or activities).

Leadpartner NICIS is responsible for component 1.

The Romanian Ministry is responsible for component 2, communication and dissimination, as it has extensive experience and a specialized compartment for this purpose (e.g. NFPoint for EUKN, responsible for coordinating national level professionals network in Urban Planning, National Dissemination Point for URBACT).

The website within component 2 will be coordinated by NICIS, as this allows for close connections with and taking advantage of the successful digital exchange and library facilities that have been developed by the European Urban Knowledge Network. All partners, in special the partners that are NFP, will be involved in the communication component to disseminate IMEA's results.

GEBALIS Social Housing Agency Lisbon coordinates comp. 3, which means the composition of the programme for the CoP with hosting partner and leaders of the IMEA teams,

and having the responsibility for reporting the results (in cooperation with LP and communication component leader)

Next to this, three thematic leaders are appointed in Comp. 3:

- · City of Assen will take the lead for the theme 'awareness raising' as the city is frontrunner in this field
- Vati Regional Development and Town Planning (HU, National Focal Point) will lead the theme 'Business Models' as it has a good access to potentially interesting business models from Eastern Europe
- DGOTDU (Ministry Portugal) will coordinate the exchange around 'Implementation Plans and SMART projects'.

The Danish Buidling and Research institute will be the coordinator of component 4. This means the development of the analytical framework for the change cases, advise on the approach of each change case and on the composition of the team of 'critical friends', transnational evaluation of the change cases and development and improvement of the change case innovation toolbox.

The CoP and adjacent SC meetings, team meetings or change case seminars will be hosted in each partner region, by turn. The hosting partner will take care of the 'logistics' (venue, catering), preparation of site visit, preparation of agenda together with component leader and team leaders.

The city of Assen (NL, with NICIS), city of Copenhagen (DK, with DBRI), Mid-Pannon Region (HU, with Vati Regional Development) Oradea Region (RO with Ministry), Lisbon Social Housing Agency (PT with Ministry) will implement a change case and are therefore responsible for elaborating and framing their case based on the instructions of DBRI and for organizing related regional meetings. All partners (so with inclusion of the NFP) will provide – as critical friends- advice to (on average) two other change cases.

The (modest) launch event will be hosted in Hungary (Transdanubian region), the mid-term event in Lisbon and the End Event in Brussels (coordinated by LP NICIS).

Section 3: Components

3.0 Component 'Preparation activities'

Title	Preparation activities	Į
Total eligible costs	30.000,00 €	Ī
Responsible partner	Nicis] =
		4

Please describe the preparation activities which have taken place

NICIS institute has established the partnership and has taken care of the preparation and invitations for the 1st partnermeeting, which has been held in November 2010 in Brussels, to focus the project and to identify opportunities for exchange. With external support and input from all partners, the proposal has been elaborated. A consultation visit to the JTS in Lille has taken place at 13 January 2011. Based on the advice of the JTS officers, partners have improved IMEA's focus. The leadpartner has also attended the Lead Applicant Seminar in Amsterdam, at 23 February 2011. National Contact Points have received an outline and their feedback has been taken into account. The budget has been constructed in close cooperation with all partners (detailed budget sheets for all partners are availabe, with partner-specific staff rates, travel costs, etc.), by bilateral consultations. A 2n partnermeeting has been held at 10 March, in The Hague, to finalize the application and budget.

3.1 Component 1

3.1.1 Component main features

Title	Management and coordination	
Total eligible costs	330.406,89 €	
Responsible partner	Lead Partner	
Planned results	A well managed project reaching its objectives, without serious conflict between the partners, without irregularities and with smooth reporting to the programme	
Output indicators	Indicators	Target
	Average number of Steering Committee (SC) meetings organised per year	2

3.1.2 Component general description

Information on the way the management and coordination will be carried out has to be provided in section 2.3 of the Application Form.

should be quantifi	work plan as precisely as possible the activities planned per semester as well as the related outputs. Outputs ied and in line with the information provided in sections 2.1.4 and 3.2.1 of the application (if no outs are planned in a semester, please indicate 'not applicable' in the relevant fields).
Activities Jan-Jun 2011	
Output(s)	
Activities Jul-Dec 2011	
Output(s)	
Activities Jan-Jun 2012	 Preparation and conclusion of the partnership agreement (draft has already been discussed in preparation phase. At the 1st SG meeting the draft agreement will be discussed, upon which a signing process will take place) Signing of the Subsidy Contract; Start up of the project including finalisation of project detailed action plan 1st Steering Group in Copenhagen, combined with CoP Preparations first level control; Preparation financial management, including draft version for financial manual; Preparation project management and coordination including draft version manual
Output(s)	1 SG meeting including minutes Signed Subsidy Contract 1 Partnership agreement signed by all partners Detailed action plan Draft version financial and management manual
	-29-

	• Second Steering Group (Assen, combined with CoP) • Collection of information for the first individual progress and	2
Activities Jul-Dec 2012	financial report by all partners Preparation and writing of first progress report (reporting period Jan-Jun 2012); First level control activities by all partners; Financial management activities; Overall project management and coordination; Finalisation project management and coordination including manual.	ACIOHYIII: IIVIEA
Output(s)	1 Steering Group meeting including minutes; 1 joint progress report submitted (reporting period Jan-Jun 2012) Final version IMEA manual (project management and financial manual)	
	• 1 Steering Group Meeting (combined with CoP, Hungary) – includes evaluation of internal way of working, for which a	
Activities Jan-Jun 2013	questionnaire will be sent out prior to the meeting by the project coordinator. If necessary, internal procedures/communication will be adjusted, based on the outcomes of questionnaire and discussion (included in minutes SG). • Collection of information for the 2nd individual progress and financial report by all partners • Preparation and writing second progress report (reporting period Jul-Dec 2012); • First level control activities; • Financial management activities; • Overall project management and coordination.	
Output(s)	1 joint progress report submitted (reporting period Jul-Dec 2012); 1 Steering Group meeting including minutes;	
Activities Jul-Dec 2013	 Collection of information for the 3rd individual progress and financial report by all partners) Preparation and writing third progress report (reporting period Jan-Jun 2013); First level control activities; Financial management activities; Overall project management and coordination. 1 SG Meeting (combined with CoP, Lisbon) 	
Output(s)	1 Steering Group meeting including minutes; 1 joint progress report submitted (reporting period Jan-June 2013);	

Activities Jan-Jun 2014	Collection of information for the fourth individual progress and financial report by all partners Preparation and writing fourth progress report (reporting period Jul-Dec 2013); Steering Group Meeting (combined with CoP, in Romania, Oradea Region, probably City of Tata) First level control activities; Financial management activities; Overall project management and coordination.	i de di juni manto
Output(s)	1 Steering Group meeting including minutes; 1 joint progress report submitted (reporting periods Jul-Dec 2013);	
Activities Jul-Dec 2014	1 Steering Group Meeting Preparation and writing 5th and 6th progress report (reporting period Jan-Jun 2014 and Jul-Dec 2014) Collection of information for the final report (including finance) by all partners; First level control activities; Financial management activities; Preparation and writing final report (reporting period: Jan 2012- Dec 2014); Overall project management and coordination.	
Output(s)	 1 Steering Group meeting including minutes; 2 joint progress report submitted (reporting periods Jan - Jun 2014 and Jul-Dec 2014); 1 final report. 	
	-31-	

3.2 Component 2

3.2.1 Component main features Title Communication and dissemination

3.2.1 Component mair Title	Communication and dissemination		
Total eligible costs	350.453,45 €		
Responsible partner	Romanian Ministry of Regional Development		
Planned results	Good practices on taking a pro-active role in achievir	Communication and dissemination 350.453,45 € Romanian Ministry of Regional Development Good practices on taking a pro-active role in achieving Energy Efficiency succesfully disseminated and exchange facilitated via a project website.	
Output indicators	Indicators	Target	
	N° of press releases disseminated	6	
	N° of brochures created	1	
	N° of copies of brochures disseminated	2200	
	N° of newsletters created	5	
	N° of copies of newsletters disseminated	6000	
	N° of dissemination events organised	3	
	N° of other events participated in	27	
Possible additional outpu	N° of digital platforms created (project website)	1	
indicators	N° of position papers created	1	
	N° of position papers disseminated at EU level	50	
Result indicators	Indicators	Target	
	N° of articles/appearances in press and media	45	
	Estimated n° of participants in events	400	
	Average n° of visits per month on operation's website	1200	
Possible additional resul			
indicators			

-32-

\rightarrow
=
\boldsymbol{C}
_
0
=
<
\neg
⇉
_
=
<
=
ш
ς.
⇗
-

3.2.2 Component general description

Please describe the content of this component in further detail (e.g. issue tackled, proposed approach, nature of the planned activities). For further information on the components, please refer to the programme manual.

Good practices from the IMEA partnership will not be kept within their organisations and regions, but will actively be disseminated in each partner country, in specific by the partners that are National Focal Points of the EUKN, by targeted meetings and participation in events ('NFPs go local'). Next to this, the EUKN and other networks will be used to disseminate results towards other EU countries.

Demarcation Component 2&3

Communication and dissemination are of vital importance to achieve Eergy Efficiency. After all, awareness raising and bringing citizens and organisations to action implies dedicated communication by using innovative means. Therefore, a considerable part of IMEAs good practices from comp. 3 and 4 are related to communication. These kind of activities, and communication within the partnership and partner regions, are part of comp. Comp. 2 concentrates on communicating good practices to local and regional public authorities and organisations related to

policy making from other regions, not taking part in IMEA, to have them benefit from the good practices and improve their capacity to engage citizens and other stakeholders.

A detailed communication plan will be elaborated in the first semester of IMEA.

Project website

Partners signalize a need for comprehensive information on good practices related to energy. At the moment, information is dispersed. Therefore, IMEA partners will develop a project website for the dissemination of good practices. It will eventually be linked to digital facilities of the well-used EUKN portal (which do not yet include the theme energy).

Other communication activities in component 3 include a digital newsletter (issued after each CoP, an IMEA brochure in all partner languages, and 3 IMEA events. At the final event, and IMEA position paper will be offered to European policy makers.

3.2.3 Detailed		
should be quantifi	es precisely as possible the activities planned per semester as well as the related outputs. Outputs ed and in line with the information provided in sections 2.1.4 and 3.2.1 of the application (if no	
Activities Jan-Jun 2011	outs are planned in a semester, please indicate 'not applicable' in the relevant fields).	Acronym: IMEA
Output(s)		
Activities Jul-Dec 2011		
Output(s)		
Activities Jan-Jun 2012	First step; elaboration of a communication plan (incl. inventory of networks, relevant events, press lists, etc.) Example of network for dissimination: the European Metropolitan network Institute (EMI) (research-based, practice-led). Events organised by EMI (e.g. workshop EU open days) will be used to disseminate IMEA's good practices. Other activities: • Development IMEA 'identity' (logo) • Template digital newsletter • Development of project leaflet • Writing press release in English & Danish after 1st CoP in Copenhagen • Preparation launch event (modest event, invitees from IMEA partnership and closely connected stakeholders, EUKN) • 1st issue digital newsletter • Writing ToR Project website (with input all partners, discussed at 1st CoP – comp. 3)	
Output(s)	• 1 IMEA communication plan • IMEA Logo, • 6 IMEA Leaflets (in English, and translated in partners' languages), • 1 digital newsletter, • press release English and Danish • 1 ToR Project website	
	-34-	

		,
Activities Jul-Dec 2012	 Organisation of the (modest) Launch event Writing press release launch IMEA (English and partners' languages) Tendering project website Participation in 2 relevant events on European level to introduce IMEA, connecting to EMI Development of project wesbite, beta-version on-line Writing digital newsletter after CoP Assen 	A COLOUTY III. IIVIEA
Output(s)	press release launch IMEA Participation in minimum 2 events 1 project website on-line (beta-version) 1 launch event 1 digital newsletter	
Activities Jan-Jun 2013	Writing digital newsletter after CoP in Hungary Writing press release in English and Dutch 'NFPs go local activities', participation in regional events and regional meetings to promote good practices inventorised by the IMEA project so far and identifying needs for additional information Content update project website	
Output(s)	1 press release (English and Hungarian) 1 digital newsletter after CoP HUngary Participation in minimum 10 regional events and meetings to promote good practices Updated project website	
Activities Jul-Dec 2013	 Writing digital newsletter after CoP in Lisbon Writing press release NFPs go local activities, participation in regional events and regional meetings to promote good practices inventorised by the IMEA project so far and identifying needs for additional information Mid-term event in Lisbon (combined with CoP and SG). Aim: connection to activities EMI and joint agenda setting Content update project website 	
Output(s)	1 digital newsletter after CoP 1 Press release (English and Portuguese) Participation in minimum 10 regional events and meetings to promote good practices 1 mid-term event in Lisbon Updated project website	

		$\overline{}$
Activities Jan-Jun 2014	Writing digital newsletter after CoP in Romania Writing press release after CoP in Romania NFPs go local activities, participation in regional events and regional meetings to promote good practices inventorised by the IMEA project so far and identifying needs for additional information Preparing End event in Brussels Preparing position paper for IMEA End event (output next semester) Content update project website	Acronym: IMEA
Output(s)	1 digital newsletter 1 press release (in English and language hosting partner – Romanian) Participation in minimum 10 regional events and meetings to promote good practices Updated project website	
Activities Jul-Dec 2014	End event in Brussels Finalizing IMEA position paper Writing Digital newsletter after End event and last CoP Final press release IMEA in English and partners' languages Dissimination activities with regard to IMEA position paper (comp. 3): presentation at relevant events, meetings with EU policy officers Announcement and promotion IMEA project website at relevant events Content update project website (incl. results from other projects) Developing agreement on sustainable hosting, maintenance and content management of the project website (budget available), in cooperation with EMI and EUKN (discussion in CoP, comp. 3)	
Output(s)	1 end event 1 IMEA position paper 1 press releases (English and partners' languages) • 1 digital newsletter Promotion IMEA position paper and project website at at least 5 meetings/events Updated project website • 1 agreement / follow-up plan on continuation, hosting and maintenance of the IMEA site	
	-36-	

3.3.1 Component main Title	Exchange of experiences dedicated to the identification and	analysis of good practices
	3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, g p
Total eligible costs	563.177,53 €	
Responsible partner	Gebalis	
Planned results	Good practices exchanged on taking a pro-active role is awareness raising and business models. Partners capa implementation plans into practice	
Output indicators	Indicators	Target
	N° of interregional events (seminars, workshops, study visits, etc.) organised by the project to exchange experience	11
	Total N° of participants in all these interregional events	310
	N° of good practices identified	18
	N° of regional/local policies and instruments addressed in the field tackled by the operation	6
	Number of implementation plans developed (applicable only from fourth call onward)	6
Possible additional output	Number of transnational studies/plans/reports	1
indicators	Change case innovation toolbox	1
Result indicators	Indicators	Target
Tiosait maisatoro	N° of staff members with inceased capacity (knowledge/skills/expertise) resulting from the exchange of experience at interregional events	21
	N° of good practices successfully transferred (if applicable)	0
	N° of regional/local policies and instruments improved in the field tackled by the operation	3
Possible additional result indicators		

-37-

3.3.2 Component general description

Please describe the content of this component in further detail (e.g. issue tackled, proposed approach, nature of the planned activities). For further information on the components, please refer to the programme manual.

Comp. 3 comprises a Community of Practice (CoP) and 3 thematic working groups that elaborate the IMEA-themes. For each working group, a programme is defined.

CoP

The core for the IMEA project. The CoP will meet every semester in 2012 and 2013. CoPs include: presentation of a good practices with a visit, input for the project website (CP 2) and are combined with activities for the themes ('awareness raising' –in 2012; 'business models' in 2nd semester 2012 and 1st 2013) and Implementation plans – 2013). All partners participate in all activities, however some partners will take a more prominent role in a specific theme. The change case seminars (CP 4) will be combined with the CoP. A CoP will take 1 day, plus meetings for thematic working groups (1 day), and are combined with a SG meeting (comp. 1) and (3 times) with a change case seminar (CP 4).

Thematic working group awareness raising

The city of Assen, a frontrunner in this field, takes the lead.

Examples: Sensor Technologies that show real time energy consumption and cost savings (with the Sensor Universie Cluster in Assen); experience from businesses related to decision making processes by consumers in regard to a potential market transaction; events like 'draught brigades', 'local heroes'; Good practices from GILDED (FP7 project) and technical support. Topics are addressed in 2 workshops. These will also provide inspiration for the change cases (CP 4).

Thematic working Group Business models

Public funds to finance EE measures are limited. Therefore, partners are interested in business&governance models and financing schemes making private funds available for citizens and that divide investments and risks over multiple actors. Western partners are particularly interested in models from Eastern Europe. Elements for this theme: good practices from Eastern Europe (by Vati Regional Development, HU), additional inventory of good practices and discussion of applicability

in relation to change cases, presentation of draft at 2nd meeting (Lisbon). If possible, advantage will be taken of inventories made in other projects (e.g. Regions4GreenGrowth).

Policy into practice - implementation plans with smart projects

Final step in IMEA: formulation of implementation plans. In line with the IMEA philosophy, these plans will reflect an actual development towards a proactive practice. They will include a chapter with a proposal for a follow-up of the Change Cases (comp. 4), to ensure that this pro-active role is continued. The implementation plans will also include (as annexes) project proposals related to energy that can be submitted in relevant national and EU level support programmes. The working group will meet twice to receive information on relevant programmes and to give feedback on each others drafts. DBRI will support CP3 by developing a change case toolbox. For details, please refer to the 1042R4IMEA conditions Form.

3.3.3 Detailed	work plan	
should be quantifi	is precisely as possible the activities planned per semester as well as the related outputs. Outputs ied and in line with the information provided in sections 2.1.4 and 3.3.1 of the application (if no buts are planned in a semester, please indicate 'not applicable' in the relevant fields).	
Activities Jan-Jun 2011		Acronym: IMEA
Output(s)		
Activities Jul-Dec 2011		
Output(s)		
		İ
Activities Jan-Jun 2012	1 CoP in Copenhagen, combined with Steering Group Meeting (comp. 1). Start-up project, discussion project activities and programme thematic working groups. The detailed activity schedule will be included in the IMEA project manual (comp. 1) Development of the Change case innovation toolbox	
Output(s)	 1 CoP including report 2 programmes thematic working groups (awareness raising, business models) 'Change case innovation toolbox' as basis for the change cases 	
	-39-	

Activities Jul-Dec 2012	The 2nd CoP in Assen is combined with a transnational change case seminar (comp. 4) and a small scale launch event (connected to Delta Energy event), combined with working Group awareness and with site visit (on sensor Technologies). Representants from Regions4GreenGrowth will be invited. Other partners will inventory which good practices suggested by Assen have a potential for follow-up within their region. The CoP will also be combined with workshop for the Working Group business models, which will address good practices from Eastern Europe (by working group leader Vati) and inventory of needs for additional good practices—also with regard to the change cases (comp. 4) (e.g. crowd funding, energy service companies, analysis of the applicability of Energy Finance Districts). Advantage will be taken of good practices from other projects (e.g. Regions4Greengrowth if approved). ToR for transnational study are drafted under coordination of Vati.	לכוסוואוווי וועובל
Output(s)	1 CoP including report 1 working group business models including report ToR for study business models	
Activities Jan-Jun 2013	CoP in Hungary (hosted by partner Mid-Pannon Regional Development Company), combined with working Group Awareness, prepared by City of Assen The CoP will be combined with a SG meeting (comp. 1)	
Output(s)	1 CoP including minutes 1 working Group Awareness raising including report	
		l
Activities Jul-Dec 2013	The CoP will take place in Lisbon, including a site visit to the echo-neigbourhood. The CoP will comprise the 2nd meeting of the working Group Business Models, in which Vati will present the (draft) results of the transnational study. Next to this, a workshop will take place to prepare for the development of implementation plans that will be developed in the next semester, coordinated by partner DGOTDU (Portuguese Ministry). In this workshop, targeted advice will be provided to all partners on relevant support programmes (i.e. on a european level) and approaches to develop an implementation plan in line with IMEA's philosophy (public authorities moving towards a pro-active practice with regard to energy efficiency).	
Output(s)	1 CoP including minutes 1 working Group business models including report 1 workshop implementation plans incl. report (approach for formulation implementation plan). Report transnational study 'Business models'	
	-40-	

Activities Jan-Jun 2014	CoP in Oradea region. Partners evaluate IMEA, prepare end event (CP2) and discuss how to design future cooperation to benefit from each others' experiences and to identify new energy challenges. They jointly elaborate a plan for follow-up (output in next semester). The discussion will be input for an IMEA position paper (output CP2), a joint communication from the partners towards European policy makers on how a pro-active practice could be facilitated by European policy and support programmes. A 2nd workshop with stakeholders will be held on the implementation plans, for feedback on each others drafts and elaboration of project proposals.1 plan per region, 1 extra for DGOTDU – Portuguese national level plan. CoP is combined with case seminar (CP 4) and SG meeting (CP 2) Improvement and presentation of the change case innovation toolbox (DBRI). The toolbox and the change cases will be disseminated (CP 2) via EUKN, EMI, workshops at relevant events and via the project website.	ACIONYIII. IIVIEA
Output(s)	 1 CoP including minutes 1 workshop implementation plans incl. report 5 regional meetings (minimum) for development implementation plan and projects Change strategy innovation toolbox (improved version of output semester 1, also to be integrated at the project website, CP2) 	
Activities Jul-Dec 2014	This last semester is used to finalize the IMEA project. The partners will finalize their implementation plans in this stage, with additional regional meetings where necessary There will not be an extensive CoP meeting anymore, however, partners will meet at the end event in Brussels and at the last SG meeting in Budapest (organised by Vati), where there will be time for rounding off and make agreements based on the follow-up plan that has been formulated.	
Output(s)	IMEA follow-up plan: sustainable cooperation on pro-active Energy Efficiency practice 5 regional meetings implementation plans 6 implementation plans (1 per partner-region plus one Portuguese 'national level' implementation plan by the Ministry with the aim to move all regions/cities in Portugal towards a pro-active position related to EE	

3.4 Component 4

3.4.1 Component ma	Energy Efficiency Change Cases		Acronym: IMEA
Total eligible costs	378.850,61 €		 `}
Responsible partner	DBRI		-
Planned results	Pro-active approach related to EE for public authorities staff members and other stakeholders capacitated to confuture policies and activities		
Output indicators	Indicators	Target	
	Number of Change cases proceeded	6	
	Number of Regional Meetings	24	
	Number of regional and transnational reports related to pro-active approach in Energy Efficiency	10	1
	Number of SWOT analyses for Change Cases	6	
	Number of change case seminars	5	
	Number of Consultation Visits	6	
Result indicators	Indicators	Target	
	Staff trained and guided to take a pro-active approach	16	
	Local/regional stakeholders engaged to participate to achieve energy efficiency	18	
			1

-42-

3.4.2 Component general description

Please describe the content of this component in further detail (e.g. issues to be tackled, proposed approach, nature of the planned activities). For further information on the components, please refer to the programme manual.

Partners have a common challenge: how can public authorities, in relation to energy efficiency, move from a reactive to a pro-active position in a collaborative framework with multiple stakeholders? To pilot various approaches, 5 complementary 'change cases' are identified within component 4. Going through the change cases is not an aim in itself. Eventual aim for each partner is to 'strategize' the most effective approach and to mainstream this into policies and daily working practice. The implementation plan (comp. 3) will contain a specific chapter on how to achieve this.

Methodology

The methodology is based on the academic peer review system to maximise learning synergy. This system is strong because it allows to learn across contexts and to get into depth very fast: cases will be presented in clear, reflective and collectively sanctioned terms, and peer-review is organized according to certain rules for constructive criticism, questions and answers will be placed into a format

that facilitates exchange. For each partner, a team of 'critical friends' will establish a dialogue with the partner about the development of the partners' change case. Besides a critical friends report, this will result in a regional or transnational report by each partner on proactive approaches in energy efficiency. The term 'critical friend' means that dialogue is based on mutual respect and detailed knowledge of the national and local contexts. The bilateral relations in IMEA allow for exchange benefits and professional friendships likely to sustain after the project.

Output of the change case process will be used as input for the implementation plans (CP3) to capitalize the experiences for local and regional policy making. Approaches which have been developed will be integrated in a toolbox (CP3) and disemminated via the project website.

Approach

A Start± shared analytical framework, SWOTS, transnational change case seminar

B Follow-up: identification need for new knowledge,

development of case in dialogue with local stakeholders, transnational critical friends review and dialogue) C Formulation of new pro-active practice, input for implementation plan

Example of change case (others in partner section)

Assen has implemented a sustainability action plan with successful pilot activities in the field of energy efficiency and awareness raising. It is acknowledged that the action plan should have a follow-up on a larger scale. However, these pilots where developed and financed in a time when sufficient funds where available. Nowadays, public funds are limited, the city needs to be selective and to search for alternative finance. The city of Assen would like to use its team of critical friends to receive advice on which elements of the action plan have the best potential for a follow-up, how these elements could still be improved (i.e. by using innovative strategies for citizen participation, experience of Copenhagen) and which financing schemes to apply.

3.4.3 Detailed	work plan	
should be quantifi	ns precisely as possible the activities planned per semester as well as the related outputs. Outputs ied and in line with the information provided in sections 2.1.4 and 3.3.1 of the application (if no outs are planned in a semester, please indicate 'not applicable' in the relevant fields).	
Activities Jan-Jun 2011		Acronym: IMEA
Output(s)		
Activities Jul-Dec 2011		
Output(s)		
Activities Jan-Jun 2012	Start-phase: • Development of shared analytical framework (reflected in 'change strategy innovation toolbox CP3) • Partners input to change cases • SWOT-analysis for each change case • Change case seminar Copenhagen Change cases and examples of stakeholders are described in the partnership section.	
Output(s)	SWOT analysis for all Change Cases Input for analytical framework Clarification of analytical framework (template) partners' preliminary input to change cases Change Case – dialogue and kick off seminar	
	-44-	

ange case seminar (combined with CoP Assen) WOT-analyses for each change case logue system and matching of 'critical friends' to allow partner direct access to specific competencies and it in the network to maximize joint learning synergy. examples of stakeholders are described in partnership section. esentation of change cases (eventually –as soon as the project wesbsite is ready) also presented online inge case seminar including report (with a.o. composition of critical fiends teams) existing knowledge and specify the need for new knowledge in relation to the change case agenda, for They develop their change case in dialogue with stakeholders according to the guidelines from that facilitate the critical friends review. They will start a dialogue with selection of critical friends from the ve targeted advice. Dialogues with critical friends will be organised via a consultation visit which could ith other local/regional stakeholders (also to be used for engagement based on their good practices), and ephone) advice and artist-in-residence type of schemes (short-term). Progress is monitored and get case seminar
esentation of change cases (eventually –as soon as the project wesbsite is ready) also presented online inge case seminar including report (with a.o. composition of critical fiends teams) existing knowledge and specify the need for new knowledge in relation to the change case agenda, for . They develop their change case in dialogue with stakeholders according to the guidelines from that facilitate the critical friends review. They will start a dialogue with selection of critical friends from the ve targeted advice. Dialogues with critical friends will be organised via a consultation visit which could ith other local/regional stakeholders (also to be used for engagement based on their good practices), and ephone) advice and artist-in-residence type of schemes (short-term). Progress is monitored and
existing knowledge and specify the need for new knowledge in relation to the change case agenda, for. They develop their change case in dialogue with stakeholders according to the guidelines from that facilitate the critical friends review. They will start a dialogue with selection of critical friends from the ve targeted advice. Dialogues with critical friends will be organised via a consultation visit which could ith other local/regional stakeholders (also to be used for engagement based on their good practices), and ephone) advice and artist-in-residence type of schemes (short-term). Progress is monitored and
They develop their change case in dialogue with stakeholders according to the guidelines from that facilitate the critical friends review. They will start a dialogue with selection of critical friends from the ve targeted advice. Dialogues with critical friends will be organised via a consultation visit which could ith other local/regional stakeholders (also to be used for engagement based on their good practices), and ephone) advice and artist-in-residence type of schemes (short-term). Progress is monitored and
They develop their change case in dialogue with stakeholders according to the guidelines from that facilitate the critical friends review. They will start a dialogue with selection of critical friends from the ve targeted advice. Dialogues with critical friends will be organised via a consultation visit which could ith other local/regional stakeholders (also to be used for engagement based on their good practices), and ephone) advice and artist-in-residence type of schemes (short-term). Progress is monitored and
ts (reports in next semester) ngs incl. minutes (minimum) ninar
inds visit, partners elaborate their change case innovation based on advices of critical friends together with stakeholders, and make a start to anchor the change-case process in new strategy and policy development akeholders and decision-makers). This anchoring strategy will also be input for the implementation plans (comp. 3, start in next semester). Feedback will be provided by all other partners at the Transnational lar (in Lisbon). Progress updates will also be placed on the project website (comp. 2)
riends visits gs incl. minutes ange case seminar incl. report oject website (comp. 2) lational reports based on the critical friends visit.
r

		Т
Activities Jan-Jun 2014	Final stage: formulation a of new pro-active practice • Transnational analysis of the change cases and evaluation (under coordination of Copenhagen) • Change case seminar: • Presentation of analysis • Presentation of improved innovation toolbox • Discussion on follow-up, how to 'strategize' the outcomes of the change case to achieve an actual development towards a proactive practice: input for implementation plans (component 3)	ACIONYIII. IIVIEA
Output(s)	Report: transnational analysis and evaluation IMEA change cases Gregional presentations of achievements change cases incl. reports Change case seminar (Oradea region)	
Activities Jul-Dec 2014	Activities for the change cases have been finalized in the previous semester. In component 2, dissimenation activities are included for promotion of IMEAs pro-active approach and the change strategy innovation toolbox (at end event in Brussels and at other relevant events (e.g. of EMI and EUKN, via e.g. workshops).	
Output(s)	No outputs, presentation and dissemination at IMEA end event and relevant other events is included in component 2.	
	-46-	

3.5 Component 5			Α̈́
3.5.1 Component main featur	es		Acronym: IMEA
Title	0.00.6		- ₹
Total eligible costs	0,00€		- ≥
Responsible partner Planned results			⊣ ₽
Trainieu resuits			
Output indicators	Indicators	Target	
			_
			-
			_
Result indicators	Indicators	Target	
			_
			_
			-
	-47-		

3.5.2 Component general description Please describe the content of this component in further detail (e.g. issues tackled, proposed approach, nature of the planned activities). For further information on the components, please refer to the programme manual.	Acronym: IMEA
	n: IMEA
	_
	-
-48-	

should be quantifi	work plan as precisely as possible the activities planned per semester as well as the related outputs. Outputs bed and in line with the information provided in sections 2.1.4 and 3.5.1 of the application (if no buts are planned in a semester, please indicate 'not applicable' in the relevant fields).	
Activities Jan-Jun 2011		Acronym: IMEA
Output(s)		
Activities Jul-Dec 2011		
Output(s)		
Activities Jan-Jun 2012		
Output(s)		
	-49-	

Activities Jul-Dec 2012		Acronym: IMEA
Output(s)		
Activities Jan-Jun 2013		
Output(s)		
Activities Jul-Dec 2013		
Output(s)		
	-50-	

		_
Activities Jan-Jun 2014		Acronym: IMEA
Output(s)		
Activities Jul-Dec 2014		
Output(s)		
	-51-	

Section 4: Budget

4.1 Budget overview by budget lines and components (in EUR)

Please provide a detailed budget by budget line and component for the partners from the EU-MS and Norway to be financed under INTERREG IVC. Administration cost are automatically calculated on a flat rate basis. The amounts for the budget categories "External expertise and services" and "Equipment" have to be provided under Sections 4.3 and 4.4. Figures reported under these sections will automatically be transferred into the table below.

For further information concerning the definition of budget lines and components, please refer to the programme manual.

		Preparation activities	CP1	CP2	CP3	CP4	CP5			
		activities	Management and coordination	Communicatio n and dissemination	Exchange of experiences dedicated to the identification and analysis of good practices	Energy Efficiency Change Cases		_	TOTAL per budget line	
								EUR	%	
Staff		855,67	257.274,01	184.556,66	232.229,94	108.884,48	0,00	783.800,76	47,42 %	
Administra (12% of sta		102,68	30.872,88	22.146,79	27.867,59	13.066,13	0,00	94.056,07	5,69 %	
	Travel and accommodation		7.460,00	21.750,00	119.880,00	39.700,00	0,00	193.831,65	11,73 %	
	External expertise and services (see 4.3)		34.800,00	122.000,00	183.200,00	217.200,00	0,00	581.200,00	35,16 %	
Equipment (see 4.4)		0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00 %	
Sub-projects								0,00	0,00 %	
TOTAL	EUR	30.000,00	330.406,89	350.453,45	563.177,53	378.850,61	0,00	1.652.888,48	100 %	
per CP	%	1,82 %	19,99 %	21,20 %	34,07 %	22,92 %	0,00 %	100 %		

Remark: if preparation costs are reported, Section 3.0 must be filled in.

4.2 Payment forecast

Please provide in the table below the expected amounts to be paid and reported by semester.

		Jan-Jun 2008	Jul-Dec 2008	Jan-Jun 2009	Jul-Dec 2009	Jan-Jun 2010	Jul-Dec 2010	Jan-Jun 2011
	EUR	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
	%	0,00 %	0,00 %	0,00 %	0,00 %	0,00 %	0,00 %	0,00 %
		Jul-Dec 2011	Jan-Jun 2012	Jul-Dec 2012	Jan-Jun 2013	Jul-Dec 2013	Jan-Jun 2014	Jul-Dec 2014
	EUR	0,00	278.301,94	402.476,34	426.819,67	272.645,27	165.534,63	107.110,63
	%	0,00 %	16,84 %	24,35 %	25,82 %	16,50 %	10,01 %	6,48 %
То	tal				1.652.888,48			

Preparation costs to be added in first reporting period

4.3 S	pecification of budget line 'External expertise and services'			
	Description of external expertise / services to be contracted. Please be as precise as possible on the nature of the expertise required (if necessary, two lines can be used for the description of the same cost).	Contracting partner N°	Partners N° with whom costs are to be shared (if applicable)	Amount (EUR)
	External experts support in development project proposal	1		24.000,00
Preparation				
			Sub-total	24.000,00
	first level controller (11 days * € 800)	6		0 000 00
	first level controller (11 days * € 800)	7		8.800,00 10.000,00
	first level controller (12,5 days * €800)	8		8.800,00
	first level controller (6 days * 600)	9		3.600,00
_	first level controller (6 days * 600)	10		3.600,00
Component 1				
		T	Sub-total	34.800,00
	Project website	1		10.200,00
	Translation costs for English-language good practices into national languages, translation			33.800,00
	Mid-term Event Lisbon: subcontracting organisation, venue, catering, incl. hiring external			35.000,00
	End event Brussels (venue, catering, organization incl. lecture external expert) project communication materials: banners/roll-ups/extra copies of brochures for present	2		20.000,00
_	Communication materials: project brochures, posters for regional dissemination activitie			4.000,00 19.000,00
Component 2	Sommandadon materiale. project o contract, poetero for regional alcommunion activities			15.000,00
10				
			Sub-total	122.000,00
	-53-			

	Description of external expertise / services to be contracted. Please be as precise as possible on the nature of the expertise required (if necessary, two lines can be used for the description of the same cost).	Contracting partner N°	Partners N° with whom costs are to be shared (if applicable)	Amount (EUR)
	travel & accommodation external invitees CoP (incl. thematic meetings)	1~10		30.000,00
	Implementation plans and SMART projects: support of external experts on project deve	5		9.900,00
	Venue and catering for hosting CoP, transport site visit	~3;5~1		42.000,00
	Transnational Change cases Innovation Toolbox - external expertise for lay-out and rew	7		9.600,00
	External expert transnational study business models (research incl. printing of reports)	4		16.000,00
0	TWG Awareness and interest, external expert for elaborating applicability good practice	5		2.000,00
Ö	TWG Awareness and interest, research for elaboration and presentation good practice	6		24.000,00
Component 3	Leadership component 3: external expert to be hired by Gebalis because of insufficient	9		41.600,00
nen	Portuguese 'National level implemenation plan' - framework and SMART projects'	10		8.100,00
ü				1 1,11
		<u> </u>	Sub-total	183.200,00
				•
	Working budgets regional change case meetings: venue, catering, rental projector etc.	1~10		28.500,00
	External expert support for development regional change cases	,3,5,9,1		122.200,00
	- Ministry of Regional Development and Tourism Romania	2	8000	122.200,00
	- Oradea metropolitan region	3	12000	
	- Mid-Pannon Regional Development Company	5	10200	
Con	- Gebalis	9	72000	
npo	- Spatial Planning and Urban Development General Directorate Portugal (DGOTDU)	10	20000	
Component	Transnational Change case seminar: key-note speaker for comments/reflection on achi	2	20000	2.500,00
1t 4	Supportive inventory for change case: Transnational policies and approaches on energy			28.000,00
	Regional Energy Matrix Leizirio di Techo: external expert for characterization and mapp			36.000,00
	Tregional Energy Matrix Leizino di Tecno. external expert foi characterization and mapp	10		30.000,00
			Sub-total	217.200,00
			Sub-total	211.200,00
	F.4			
	-54-			

	Description of external expertise / services to be contracted. Please be as precise as possible on the nature of the expertise required (if necessary, two lines can be used for the description of the same cost).	Contracting partner	Partners N° with whom costs are to be shared (if applicable)	Amount (EUR)	Acronym: IMEA
Com					
Component 5					
			Sub-total Total	0,00 581.200,00	
	-55-				

4.4 St	pecification of budget line 'Equipment'			
	Description of 'Equipment'. Please be as precise as possible on the nature of the equipment and number required.	Contracting partner N°	Amount (EUR)	Acronym: IMEA
Preparation				
	Su	ub-total	0,00	
Component 1				
onent				
1				
	St.	ub-total	0,00	
Con				
Component 2				
nt 2				
	Su	ub-total	0,00	
	-56-			

	Description of 'Equipment'. Please be as precise as possible on the nature of the equipment and number required.	Contracting partner N°	Amount (EUR)	Acronym: IMEA
Con				
Component 3				_
ent 3				1
	S	ub-total	0,00	
Co				
Component 4				
1ent 4				•
				-
				•
		ub-total	0,00	
		lotai	,	
0				
ompo				
Component 5				
5				
	S	ub-total Total	0,00 0,00	
	-57-		,	

Section 5: Partnership

Contact details of the Lead Partner

Contact details of the Lea	a Partner			
Institution (original language)	Nicis Institut	Э		
Institution (EN)	Nicis Institut	Э		
Legal status	Body govern	ed by public law		
Address	Laan van Ni	euw Oost Indië 30	0	
Postal code	2593 CE			
Town	The Hague			
Country	NL			
NUTS Level 1 *	WEST-NEDER	LAND		
NUTS Level 2 *	Zuid-Holland			
NUTS Level 3 *	Agglomeratie 's	-Gravenhage		
Phone (office)	0031 (0) 70	3440948	(mobile)	0031 (0) 650252939
Fax	0031 (0) 70	3440967		
Email	imea@nicis.	nl		
Website	www.nicis.nl			
Contact person				
Independent financial controller	to the country further details If already kno details of the the type of co In line with the Di independent cont Ministry of Infrast	concerning the cou wn (and selection is independent control ntroller and procedu atch requirements for the roller to the ructure and Environment orization expenditures wi controller will be:	n section on the untry specific reports of subject to the should be ure for selection of the section of the sec	ntrol, Nicis Institute will propose an internal nternational Affairs.

Bank details

Bank name	N.V. Bank Nederlandse Gemeenten
Address	Koninginnegracht 2, Postbus 30305
Postal code	2500 GH
Town	Den Haag
Country	NL
IBAN	NL48 BNGH 0285 0940 33
SWIFT code	BNGHNL2G
Internal reference	
Holder of the account	Nicis Institute

Partner budget (in EUR)

75%
208.106,05 €
156.079,53 €
52.026,52 €

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence local / regional policies? In the case of a Norwegian Lead Partner, please justify the reason why this partner has to be the Lead Partner.

Nicis Institute is set up by both cities and ministries, to generate and translate knowledge to address national and international urban issues in the daily practice of local governments. Related to energy efficiency (EE), Nicis has coordinated or is still coordinating a number of initiatives. Nicis Institute contributed to define an innovation project about "Climate Neutral Cities". This innovation project was honoured and for four years cities can apply for an innovation subsidy for projects that stimulate their road towards climate neutrality. Another coordinating activity of Nicis Institute is to make – together with representatives of Dutch municipalities - an agenda about environmental issues they are facing (climate change, sustainable development, energy efficiency). With this 'Environmental Agenda' the Dutch municipalities and cities want to do business with the new government. Furthermore Nicis is chairing a National Advisory Commission on EE in the Build Environment.

Local politicians (aldermen), the Director-General of the Housing department (part of the Ministry of the Interior) and qualified researchers are members of this Advisory Commission.

The European Urban Knowledge Network (EUKN)

The European Urban Knowledge Network (EUKN) (for which NICIS is secretariat) is a network of 12 EU Member States: Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Germany, Hungary, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal, Romania and Spain. All countries have created a National Focal Point which is responsible for collection and dissemination of knowledge at their national level. EUKN is designed to enhance the exchange of knowledge and expertise on tackling urban issues throughout Europe. It builds a bridge between professionals in the field of policy, research and practice. Outcomes of IMEA will feed EUKN and will be disseminated at a wide scale. The project website will be linked to the EUKN website, anchoring this way the outcomes of the IMEA project.

Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).

Nicis Institute has experience with interregional cooperation through the European Urban Knowledge Network (intergovernmental European network) and the European Metropolitan network Institute (EMI) which will also be used by IMEA for dissemination. Furthermore, Nicis Institute participates actively in URBAN-NET, the European research network dedicated to urban sustainability (FP6). The EU funded project sets out to address the urban research agenda in Europe and will result in long-lasting transnational coordination primarily through jointly funded research programmes.

Recently the follow-up of URBAN-NET, URBAN-NEXUS has been approved (7th Framework pr.). Nicis Institute takes part in this project as a partner. Furthermore, Nicis Institute has been appointed by the European Commission (DG Regio) to test the Reference Framework for Sustainable Cities.

* The postal address of the partner determines the selection of the NUTS levels.

A list to all NUTS levels is available under http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/ramon/nuts/codelist en.cfm?list=nuts

-60-

The responsibility of the Ministry of Regional Development and Tourism encompasses regional development, cohesion and territorial development, cross-border, transnational and interregional cooperation, territorial development, housing, public works, construction and tourism. The ministry assures the coordination of 48 programmes that use national and European funding. The Ministry is responsible with the development and enforcement of the national spatial planning system, development and implementation of the national territorial strategy, development of national housing policies and strategies, elaboration of territorial planning documentation. The DG Technique in Constructions is responsible for increase of energy performance of buildings (implementation directive 2002/91/C and co participation directive 2006/32/EC – Buildings section).

Through its institutional competences and attributions the Ministry of Regional Development and Tourism is entitled to develop

national policies/strategies related to Sustainable urban development and Energy efficiency of buildings and urban landscapes. Therefore, the IMEA project will contribute to the improvement not only of the policy framework in the area of sustainable urban development, but also to the strengthening of the institutional capacity in the area of policy making and implementation (taking a pro-active role).

In IMEA, MRDT leads comp. 2 communication. The Ministry has an extensive experience in communication activities. It has a specialized compartment and participates, as a National Focal Point, to the EUKN and is responsible for coordinating a national level network of professionals in urban planning. Furthermore, the Ministry is the National URBACT Dissemination Point for Romania.

The Ministry will benefit from good practices of the partners and from targeted advice in the change case, for which it will cooperate with Oradea Metropolitan Zone Association (described in section OMZA).

Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).

The MRDThas an extensive experience with management of European funding programs as well as projects development and implementation. Highlights:

- National authority for 11 EU cooperation programmes: Romania Bulgaria, Romania Republic of Serbia, Romania Ucraina Republic of Moldova, Black Sea basin, Hungary Romania, Ungaria Slovacia Romania Ucraina, SEE Programme, INTERREG IVC, URBACT II, ESPON 2013. INTERACT II
- Management Authority for the Regional Operational Programme.
- Tisa Catchment Area Development (TICAD) trans-national project (SEE Programme).
- Transnational Strategy for the Sustainable Territorial Development of the Danube Area with special regard to Tourism (SEE Programme).
- Reference Framework for Sustainable Cities MDRT is national contact point and provides national support for the testing phase of the Reference Framework for Sustainable Cities. Four Romanian cities were selected to participate in the testing phase.

-62-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence local / regional policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?
Oradea metropolitan area is located in Western Romania, in the County of Bihor, Crişana, Transylvania, Romania and was founded on 9 May 2005. Our organisation aims to improve and exploit the opportunity of exchanging innovative energy efficiency actions. One of the main challenges that we are confronted with, is that collective heating systems have to be improved. Solutions from the western part that may be applicable. Contribution in IMEA will be focused on area based approaches, strategies to enable local and regional authorities to take a pro-active role. Together the national development ministry (MDRL), the Oradea Metropolitan Area will explore from a comparative perspective the national policy options of the IMEA partners in the area of energy efficiency of heritage buildings.
Joint Change Case Ministry of Regional Development and Tourism and OMZA: "Awareness raising and mechanisms for cooperation on energy efficiency in historical buildings in Oradea region." EE in heritage buildings in the urban landscape is not only about finding the right architectural solutions. To achieve energy targets in this domain, public authorities will have to mix cultural preservation policy, spatial planning policy and energy efficiency policy, they will have to raise awareness on Energy Efficiency amongst local owners, and they will have to find the right balance between using legal instruments and models for cooperation and intervention. In this change case, with assistance from the IMEA partnership, the Ministry and OMZA will explore which approach the Ministry and OMZA could take to insure energy efficiency in heritage buildings in the Oradea Metropolitan Zone.
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed
projects (if any).
Oradea Metropolitan Area has experience in elaborating and implementaing as lead partner and project partner a series of application projects from the national. Crossborder and transnational programmes. On 3 year ongoing project from the INTERREG IV South East Europe, FEDER with the tytle POLYNVEST (SEE Eol/A/640/4.2/X- 2,5 mil euro budget). To summarize, Polyinvest aims at improving the conditions for investing in the most marginal areas in Europe, increasing PAs capital flow both through the sale or the lease of unused properties, and with the reduction of their maintenance costs.
-63-

-64-

VÁTI is a state owned company, as ministerial background institute it is highly engaged in policy preparation and facilitation of policy making. VÁTI provides evaluations and research based recommendation to regional authorities. VÁTI deals with national and regional planning issues as well. During fulfilling its activities it puts emphasis on the involvement of different level territorial partners, among which the regional and micro-regional level is important. Váti also plays an active role in the renewal of regional development on behalf of the respective ministries in order to adjust Hungarian regional development policy to the new challenges, among which energy efficiency has also an important role.

Dissemination of information from third parties is a steadily growing field of activity within the Institute. VATI is contact point for ESPON and National Focal Point for the EUKN, and National Dissemination Point for URBACT. In the framework of these tasks structured and validated

information is gathered and transmitted to stakeholders via newsletters, leaflets and websites. VATI has the capacity to prepare research and analytical studies including the theme of Energy Efficiency, governance and related business models at local level in order to support policy development on national, regional and local level. In the framework of works related to the Hungarian EU Presidency the Institute is involved in the preparation of a handbook contributing to the efforts of the European cities and towns in their combat against climate change.

VÁTI expects from the IMEA project to learn and disseminate innovative strategies and measures related to energy efficiency. Through pilot actions (its joint change case with the Mid-Pannon Regional Development Company, described in their section) it can support the local practitioners. In addition, it will contribute to the planning phase of the next NSRF documents as regards to the field of energy efficiency.

Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).

VATI took part in the framework of FP6 in the E-Rain project dealing with territorial cohesion and innovation. The Insitute was involved in the INTERREG IIIB project called RePUS which focused on mobility trend at regional and agglomeration sense. It also participated in ESPON projects and ETC projects, both in the Southeast and Central Europe programme.

VÁTI is involved in the planning of Structural Funds' documents, such as the Operational Programmes for Regional Development (OPRDs). VÁTI is involved in the initiative on joint planning of the Visegrad countries which aims to harmonize the spatial policy of the four countries together with Bulgaria and Romania.

-66-

As the leading economic development institution in the region, MID-PANNON is in direct and regular contact with regional and national decision—making bodies, government institutions and the company plays an active role in the preparation of the regional development strategies, plans in many fields (economy, sustainable urban development, human resource development etc.) The company prepared "integrated urban development strategies" for the major cities in the region and was also involved in the implementation of the urban development projects. We will contribute to the project by bringing in our experiences in integrated, sustainable urban development in Central Transdanubia region.

Change case

In our change case (together with VATI) we will focus on the city of Tata which already has some track record in the field of energy efficiency projects and which wants to improve its approaches guided by members from the IMEA partnership. Change case: the existing housing stock uses most energy. Next to this, there are so far hardly any local decentralized renewable energy systems, though they have a high potential. Change case: how to assist, as a public development agency and ministerial background institute, the city of Tata in integrating the aspect of energy efficiency in social urban regeneration projects?

Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).

South East Europe Programme - FIDIBE project (SEE/A/150/1.2/X) - lead partner Central Europe Programme - Re-Turn project (3CE346P1) - project partner, WP leader Central Europe Programme - AutoNet project (2CE201P1) - project partner Central Europe Programme - Clusters Cord project (2CE202P1) - project partner South East Europe Programme - Recultivatur project (SEE/B/001/4.3/x) - project partner South East Europe Programme - FINNO project (SEE/B/0038/1.3/x) - project partner Interreg IIIC Programme - MODELE project - project partner Intelligent Energy Europe Programme - PROMETHEUS project - project partner

-68-

The Municipality of Assen has implemented the 1st phase of its 'Sustainable Housing Program'. 10 different approaches have been used focused on different types of homeowners and channel partners. Different phases of the process (Awareness raising, creation of market demand and supply and process support) are covered as well. From the 1stfirst phase of our program, we can share our experiences. As a municipality, we can especially share our views on the practical effects of different measures on the local level and further improve these measures, as we can directly influence local policies.

We will contribute to the project by sharing our experience with sensor systems in this field, as the Municipality of Assen houses a strong cluster of economic and educational organisations in this field.

Knowledge acquired within IMEA will be used to improve our policy strategies on the transition to sustainable residential areas. We aim to implement a complete set of measures covering all

process phases and all actors involved (homeowners, tenants, housing corporations, developers, installation companies). We also try to integrate European, national, regional and local measures into one strategy that is understandable for all actors.

Change case:

Assen has implemented a sustainable housing program with successful pilot activities in the field of EE and awareness raising. It is acknowledged that this should have a follow-up on a larger scale. However, pilots where developed and financed in a time when sufficient funds where available. Nowadays, public funds are limited, the city needs to be selective and to search for alternative finance. The city of Assen would like to use its team of critical friends to receive advice on which elements of the action plan have the best potential for a follow-up, how these elements could still be improved (i.e. by using innovative strategies for citizen participation, experience of Copenhagen) and which financing schemes to apply.

Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).

- Cooperation in the Town-Net Interreg III-B program under lead partner Provincie Drenthe, 2004-2006.
- Projects funded by the ERDF, objective 'regional competitiveness&employment: 'Messchenveld' project, 'Blauwe As' project (2003-2006)
- The "Work Profiler" project, funded by ESF-EQUAL 2004, 2004-2007. Assen was lead partner in this project, where innovative approaches for employment programs based on personal competences were developed and implemented by 10 municipalities.

Contact details of partner	7				
	Statens Byggeforskningsinstitut				
, , ,	Danish Building Research Institute				
` '	Body governed by public law				
	Dr. Neergaards Vej 15				
Postal code					
	Hørsholm				
Country			_		
NUTS Level 1					
NUTS Level 2					
NUTS Level 3					
	+4545865533	(mobile)	+4526847777		
` /	+4545867535	(11100110)	11020011111		
	lae@sbi.dk				
	www.sbi.dk				
	Lars A. Engberg				
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer				
	to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for				
	further details concerning the cou	urther details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control.			
	If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.				
	Denmark has chosen a decentralised control system. Beneficiaries from Denmark will have to				
	propose an independent external controller on the basis of guidelines by submitting the paper version of the Annex 2 duly filled in, signed and stamped to the Danish Business Authority. The beneficiary shall propose a controller having experience in controlling structural funds (The EU's Structural Fundare administered by the Commission to finance Community structural aid. They comprise the Guidance Section of the EAGGF for agriculture,) and having knowledge in English. The proposed controller has to be either a "statsautoriseret" or a "registreret" auditor.				
Partner budget (in EUR)					
ERDF co-financing rate:	75%	_			
Total amount:	222.284,51 €				
ERDF Funding:	166.713,38 €				
EU National Co-financing:	55.571,13€	1			
	ipation of this partner is not to be f s programmes (see programme m		NTERREG IVC but from its er information), please click in the		
	-70-				

The Danish Building Research Institute (DBRI), Aalborg University, is an applied science institute that develops research-based knowledge to improve buildings and the built environment (staff of 100).

Improving the energy efficiency of buildings is a priority R&D activity in all DBRI research departments. The IMEA-project is anchored in the Department of Town, Housing and Property. This department has a longstanding tradition of engaged scholarship activities in close collaboration with local governments, architectural firms, developers, housing associations and other parties in urban renewal and development.

With IMEA, The Dpt of Town, Housing and Property enters a Knowledge Exchange Partnership with the Urban Design Department in the City of Copenhagen. Purpose of the partnership is to make available current research-based knowledge to inspire strategy development and - implementation in the city's urban renewal department while developing and testing this knowledge.

The Knowledge Exchange Partnership focuses on:

- innovations in local government to promote climate adaptation, energy efficiency and sustainable urban development
- governance mechanisms that allow local governments a more proactive role in urban renewal and more efficient complexity steering capacities in the multi-level governance context of sustainable urban renewal
- funding mechanisms that promote EE in the built environment with a particular focus on house-owners and renters
- a new framework for better integration of architectural and technical standards in the energy efficient renovation and renewal of buildings in Copenhagen

DBRI particularly supports IMEA because the project will develop a new strategy for urban renewal in Copenhagen which will significantly strengthen the City Council's role in the effort to promote energy renovation of buildings in Copenhagen and greater Copenhagen area.

Joint change case with Copenhagen is decribed in section partner Copenhagen.

Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).

DBRI is currently involved in

- Silent Spaces (Interreg 4A)
- Sound Settlements (Interreg 4A)
- Integration between Sustainable Construction Processes (Interreg 4A)
- BIO-PROTECT (7th framework)

The Department of Town, Housing and Property is the Danish dissimination point in the URBACT-programme and the Danish focal point in the EUKN-programme, and the department administers the two related websites (www.urback.dk) and (www.eukn.dk).

Institution (original language)		8 Københavns Kommune, Center for Bydesign			
	City of Copenhagen, Urban Design Department				
	Local Public Authority				
	Njalsgade 13				
Postal code	, ,				
	København S				
Country					
NUTS Level 1					
NUTS Level 2					
	Københavns omegn				
	+45 3366 1203	(mobile)	+45 2630 0375		
	+45 3366 7020	()			
	jadrej@tmf.kk.dk				
	www.kk.dk				
	Jane Drejer Nielsen				
	Please indicate the way you plan	to carry out th	ne first level control. Please refer		
·	to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for				
			requirements for first level control.		
		If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact			
	details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate				
	the type of controller and procedure for selection.				
	Denmark has chosen a decentralised con	ntrol system. Bene	ficiaries from Denmark will have to		
			guidelines by submitting the paper version		
	of the Annex 2 duly filled in, signed and st		structural funds (The EU's Structural Funds		
	are administered by the Commission to fir				
	Guidance Section of the EAGGF for agric controller has to be either a "statsautorise				
	controller has to be cliner a statistationse	ret of a registrer	or additor.		
Partner budget (in EUR)					
ERDF co-financing rate:	75%				
Total amount:	165.325,40 €]			
ERDF Funding:	123.994,05 €				
EU National Co-financing:					
LO National Co-linancing.	41.551,55 C	J			
	pation of this partner is not to be f				
· ·	s programmes (see programme m	nanual for furth	er information), please click in the		
box below:					

Г

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?

The Urban Renewal Department (URD) is part of the Urban Design Departement in Copenhagen City Council. URD administers the building renewal scheme and the department has two key mechanisms by which it can promote energy saving measures in building renewal projects:

- 1. Supporting applications for renovation subsidies that include energy saving measures, and by issuing recommendations and specific demands in correspondence with the Building Regulations 2010 and the city's norms in the municipal building code
- 2. Supporting innovative demonstration projects that promote comprehensive ('smart') energy renovations.

IMEA will give the Department opportunity to explore new methods and strategies to overcome barriers (cognitive and economic) concerned smart energy renovation and to identify drivers in this field through creation of synergies between preservation and renewal, partnerships and involvement of citizens, research-institutes, companies and financial sector. Other

partners can benefit from Copenhagen's experience related to participatory planning in different policy domains.

Change case: Copenhagen City Council has a building renewal scheme with (as a part of it), renovation subsidies that include energy saving measures. However, it is based on voluntary participation of house owners, a key barrier is that the Department of Urban Renewal cannot assist building owners to avoid positive discrimination of applicants, who should be treated equal before the law. URD therefore identifies a clear need to develop a new 'food chain' in relation to building renewal. The 'change case' is to promote general guidelines and recommendations that target the specific conditions of individual projects. The city will need to find ways of using demonstration projects more offensively to promote smart energy renovations.

Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).

Copenhagen is partner in Interreg IV A, Øresund, project Energi Øresund and has participated Interreg IVB-project COHIBA (www.cohiba-project.net). City of Copenhagen has been beneficiary partner in the Life 2004 project, Dogme 2000, and is partner in the Life+ 2009 project Carbon 20

Institution (original language) Institution (EN) GEBALIS – Management of Lisboa Social Housing, EEM Legal status Body governed by public law Address Rua Costa Malheiro, Lote B12 - Bairro Alfredo Bensaúde Postal code Postal code 1800-412 Town LISBOA Country PT NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 Lisboa NUTS Level 2 Sanda Lisboa Phone (office) (+351) 217510303 (mobile) Fax (+351) 217572670 Email Sandra.sousa@gebalis.pt Website Wews.gebalis.pt Contact person Sandra Teixeira de Sousa Independent financial controller Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditing firms / entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditins (IRO) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.3330,96 €	Contact details of partner	9		
Legal status Body governed by public law Address Rua Costa Malheiro, Lote B12 - Bairro Alfredo Bensaúde Postal code 1800-412 Town LISBOA Country PT NUTS Level 1 CONTINENTE NUTS Level 2 Lisboa Phone (office) (+351) 217510303 (mobile) Fax (+351) 217572670 Email sandra.sousa@gebalis.pt Website Contact person Sandra Teixeira de Sousa Independent financial controller to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditing firms / entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditors (OROC: http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 €	Institution (original language)	GEBALIS – GESTÃO DOS BA	IRROS MUN	IICIPAIS DE LISBOA, EEM
Address Rua Costa Malheiro, Lote B12 - Bairro Alfredo Bensaúde Postal code 1800-412	Institution (EN)			
Town LISBOA Country PT NUTS Level 1 CONTINENTE NUTS Level 2 Lisboa NUTS Level 3 Grande Lisboa Phone (office) (+351) 217510303 (mobile) Fax (+351) 217572670 Email sandra.sousa@geballis.pt Website www.gebalis.pt Contact person Sandra Teixeira de Sousa Independent financial controller Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller should be for statutory auditing firms / entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese institute of Statutory Auditors (OROC: http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project artner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its onvergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the				
Town Country PT NUTS Level 1 CONTINENTE NUTS Level 2 Lisboa Phone (office) (+351) 217510303 (mobile) Fax (+351) 217572670 Email sandra.sousa@gebalis.pt Website www.gebalis.pt Contact person Independent financial controller Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditing times entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditing irms/entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditing irms/entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditing irms/entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditing irms/entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditing irms/entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditing irms/entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project artner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its onvergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	Address	Rua Costa Malheiro, Lote B12	- Bairro Alfre	edo Bensaúde
NUTS Level 2 CONTINENTE NUTS Level 3 Grande Lisboa Phone (office) (+351) 217510303 (mobile) Fax (+351) 217572670 Email sandra.sousa@gebalis.pt Website www.gebalis.pt Contact person Sandra Teixeira de Sousa Independent financial controller Independent financial Independent financia				
NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Grande Lisboa Phone (office) Part (+351) 217510303 Fax (+351) 217572670 Email sandra.sousa@gebalis.pt Website www.gebalis.pt Contact person Independent financial controller Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditing firms / entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditors (OROC: http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will proposed by the project Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 €	Town	LISBOA		
NUTS Level 2 Lisboa NUTS Level 3 Grande Lisboa Phone (office) (+351) 217510303 (mobile) Fax (+351) 217572670 Email sandra.sousa@gebalis.pt Website www.gebalis.pt Contact person Sandra Teixeira de Sousa Independent financial controller If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure from the list of statutory auditing firms / entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditors (OROC: http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project artner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its onvergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	Country	PT		
NUTS Level 3 Grande Lisboa Phone (office) (+351) 217510303 (mobile) Fax (+351) 217572670 Email sandra.sousa@gebalis.pt Website www.gebalis.pt Contact person Sandra Teixeira de Sousa Independent financial controller Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditing firms / entities (RCC/SRCC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditors (ORCC: http://www.orc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project artner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its overgence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	NUTS Level 1	CONTINENTE		
Phone (office) (+351) 217510303 (mobile) Fax (+351) 217572670 Email sandra.sousa@gebalis.pt Website www.gebalis.pt Contact person Sandra Teixeira de Sousa Independent financial controller Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditing firms / entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditors (OROC: http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project artner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € END National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its convergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	NUTS Level 2	Lisboa		
Email sandra.sousa@gebalis.pt Website www.gebalis.pt Contact person Sandra Teixeira de Sousa Independent financial controller Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditing firms / entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portugase Institute of Statutory Auditors (OROC: http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project artner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its convergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	NUTS Level 3	Grande Lisboa		
Email sandra.sousa@gebalis.pt Website www.gebalis.pt Contact person Sandra Teixeira de Sousa Independent financial controller to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditing firms / entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditors (OROC: http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project artner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: S5% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its onvergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	Phone (office)	(+351) 217510303	(mobile)	
Website Contact person Independent financial controller Independent controller section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditing firms / entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditors (OROC: http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project artner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € In exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its convergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	Fax	(+351) 217572670		
Contact person Independent financial controller Independent controller section on the INTERREG IVC website for Interest further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditins firms / entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditors (OROC: http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project artner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its convergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	Email	sandra.sousa@gebalis.pt		
Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditions (CROC: http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project artner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its convergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	Website	www.gebalis.pt		
to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Portugal has chosen a decentralised control system. The beneficiaries from Portugal will have to propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditing firms / entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditors (OROC: http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project artner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its convergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the				
propose an independent external controller from the list of statutory auditing firms / entities (ROC/SROC) recognised by the Portuguese Institute of Statutory Auditors (OROC: http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institute for Regional Development (FIRD) In compliance with the public procurement rules, the beneficiary will propose the controller to the above-mentioned body for approbation. This body will authorise the controller proposed by the project artner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its onvergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the		to the country specific information further details concerning the cou If already known (and selection is details of the independent control	n section on th untry specific ro s not subject to ller should be	ne INTERREG IVC website for requirements for first level control. o public procurement), the contact provided. Otherwise, just indicate
ERDF co-financing rate: 85% Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its convergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	artner budget (in FUR)	http://www.oroc.pt/) to the Financial Institu In compliance with the public procurement	te for Regional De	evelopment (FIRD) ciary will propose the controller to the
Total amount: 242.206,38 € ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € In exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its convergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	• • •	85%		
ERDF Funding: 205.875,42 € EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its onvergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	•			
EU National Co-financing: 36.330,96 € in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its onvergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the		·		
in exceptional case, the participation of this partner is not to be financed from INTERREG IVC but from its onvergence or Competitiveness programmes (see programme manual for further information), please click in the	<u> </u>			
	onvergence or Competitiveness			
		-74-		

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?

GEBALIS is responsible for the social housing management of 66 quarters in the municipality of Lisboa (23 376 Houses, about 82 000 residents). GEBALIS is an active partner of the Municipality at different levels and in several projects. Example: local intervention integrated projects financed by the Portuguese Strategic National Reference Plan (QREN). GEBALIS also cooperates with the Lisbon Agency for Energy and Environment (responsible for study projects of energy and water, and other environment projects in Lisbon area). GEBALIS can provide the other IMEA partners good practices in the field of social intervention – Santa Casa da Misericordia de Lisboa.

GEBALIS will be of added value to the partnership as it can provide the other partners more insight in the barriers and demands related to energy efficiency from the perspective of a social housing agency. By IMEA, GEBALIS expects to improve approaches to increase EE via community empowerment. Change case: "Win-Win" in Bensaúde

quarter in Lisbon. Awareness raising and community empowerment related to EE in a multicultural setting, including a participatory process with the purpose of allocating part of the income from microgeneration to the creation of proximity services. A multicultural dialogue is mandatory here, Roma and Hindi are present together with other communities. The methodology should be based on participatory SWOT analysis and action plan designing as well as on peer-to-peer interaction, "learning by doing" and active coaching of community leaders. GEBALIS will use its team of 'critical friends' to elaborate the methodology, to receive advice on the multicultural dimension and to be guided in its implementation.

As the capital is always an example followed by most continental municipalities in Portugal, projects that success in Lisbon have the tendency to be replied or adjusted to in order tows and districts leading to changes in the political local areas tenable positions.

Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).

Gebalis is a partner organization of two projects of the Municipality of Lisboa, approved by QREN – National Strategic Reference Plan for Portugal, that are now developing in Lisbon territory: (1) one is QREN - Política das Cidades, Parcerias para a Regeneração Urbana – Bairros Críticos – Qualificação Integrada do Bairro Padre Cruz (Policies for the Cities, Partenerships for Urban Regeneration – Critical Quarters – Integrated qualitification of The District Padre Cruz, running from October 2010 to October 2013), the other is "Eco-Bairro Boavista – Ambiente + - Um Modelo Integrado de Inovação Sustentável" (Eco-district Boavista – Environment + - A integrated Model, running from August 2010 to August 2013).

-76-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?

DGOTDU is Portugal's public national authority on spatial management and planning and urban development policy. DGOTDU implements and monitors the National Program for Spatial Management Policy (PNPOT) that brings together sectorial policies (including energy and climate change) under a territorial integration framework. DGOTDU is the national authority for the implementation of the POLIS XXI Cities Policy, focused on the

competitiveness, regeneration, networking, innovation and sustainability of Portuguese cities. DGOTDU is National Focal Point for EUKN and sustains a significant informative, formative and editorial activity directed to partner national public bodies, regional administrations, municipalities, relevant professionals and universities. DGOTDU has collaborated with the public administration energy efficiency program (ECO.AP). Our

contribution in IMEA is fundamentally linked to our understanding of spatial dynamics and its influence on energy production and

consumption patterns. As a national authority we have a strong competence in policy innovation and piloting, as well as in policy mainstreaming.

Change case: cross-municipal energy strategy development in The Comunidade Inter Municipal da Lezíria do Tejo (CIMLT, agglomerate of 10 municipalities, population 240 842). A National Energy Efficiency territorial approach should extend to the whole country. However, a systematic downscaling will be necessary so as to recognize the adequate scope of intervention. DGOTDU will use its IMEA 'change case' to explore approaches to assist at a regional level. Aim is to develop and test a cross-municipal energy efficiency platform, with assistance of the IMEA-partners. Element of the process will be the development of a regional energy matrix –characterization and mapping of energy consumption patterns and tendencies- that will provide the base input for an integrated energy efficiency strategy focused on spatial performance.

Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).

COPTA - DGOTDU is the Portuguese national focal point of the European inter-ministerial cooperation ongoing process to establish the Territorial Agenda of the European Union and the Leipzig Charter; DGOTDU is the National Managing Authority of URBACT I and II; DGOTDU is the national focal point for EUKN; DGOTDU is representing Portugal in ESPON 2013; DGOTDU is representing Portugal in the European Landscape Convention; DGOTDU is a partner of the Interreg 4c project FACTS; DGOTDU is directly responsible for the implementation and management of the 'Innovation Actions for Urban Development' FEDER funded program, with delegated competence from the Territorial Operational Program National Managing Authority; DGOTDU is implementing the reference framework for sustainable cities prototype in Portugal. DGOTDU is implementing the Territorial and Urban Development component of the Adaptation to Climate Change National Strategy

Contact details of partner	11
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount:	
ERDF Funding:	
EU National Co-financing:	
	-78-

	_
Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	_
	_
-79 ₋	

Contact details of partner	12
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	·
Website	
Contact person	
Independent financial controller	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: ERDF Funding:	0,00 €
EU National Co-financing:	
	-80-

	_
Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	
-81-	

Contact details of partner	13
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: ERDF Funding:	0% 0,00 € 0,00 €
EU National Co-financing:	
	-82-

	_
Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	
-83-	

Contact details of partner	14
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount:	0,00 €
ERDF Funding:	0,00 €
EU National Co-financing:	0,00€
	-84-

	_
Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
	-
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	
-85-	

Contact details of partner	15
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: ERDF Funding:	0,00 €
EU National Co-financing:	
	-86-

	_
Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
	-
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	
-87-	

Contact details of partner	16
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate:	
Total amount:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ERDF Funding: EU National Co-financing:	
	-88-

	_
Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
	-
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	
-89-	

Contact details of partner	17
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	·
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount:	
ERDF Funding:	
EU National Co-financing:	
	-90-

	_
Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
	-
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	
-91-	

Contact details of partner	18			
Institution (original language)				,
Institution (EN)				ACTOTIVITE INICA
Legal status				Ĭ,
Address		_		
Postal code				
Town				7
Country				
NUTS Level 1				
NUTS Level 2				
NUTS Level 3		1		
Phone (office)		(mobile)		
Fax				
Email				
Website				
Contact person	Please indicate the way you plan		6	
	If already known (and selection is	untry specific re s not subject to Iller should be p	equirements for first level control. o public procurement), the contact provided. Otherwise, just indicate	
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate:	0%			
Total amount:]		
ERDF Funding:				
EU National Co-financing:				

-92-

	_
Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
	_
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	
-93-	

	19			
Institution (original language)				,
Institution (EN)				אכוטוואווו. וועודא
Legal status				¥
Address				15
Postal code				3
Town				7
Country				
NUTS Level 1				
NUTS Level 2				
NUTS Level 3				
Phone (office)		(mobile)		
Fax				
Email				
Website				
Contact person	Please indicate the way you plan			
	If already known (and selection is	untry specific re s not subject to ller should be p	equirements for first level control. n public procurement), the contact provided. Otherwise, just indicate	
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate:	0%			
Total amount:				
ERDF Funding:				
EU National Co-financing:				

-94-

	_
Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
	_
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	
-95-	

Contact details of partner	20
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	·
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate:	
Total amount:	·
ERDF Funding: EU National Co-financing:	
	-96-

	_
Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	
-97-	

Contact details of partner	21
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount:	
ERDF Funding:	
EU National Co-financing:	
	-98-

	_
Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
	-
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	
-99-	

Contact details of partner	22
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	·
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount:	
ERDF Funding:	
EU National Co-financing:	
	-100-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	_
-101-	

Institution (original language) Institution (EN) Legal status Address Postal code Town Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller of the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public provement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.	Institution (EN) Legal status Address Postal code Town Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Institution (EN) Legal status Address Postal code Town Country NUTS Level 1						
Legal status Address Postal code Town Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Legal status Address Postal code Town Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller of further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Legal status Address Postal code Towr Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2	6 6 9					
Postal code Town Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: O% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Postal code Town Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: O% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Address Postal code Towr Country NUTS Level 1))) /					
Postal code Town Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Postal code Town Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Postal code Towr Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2	1 1					
Town Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Oy6 Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Town Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Oy6 Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Towr Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2	1 /					
NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Oy6 Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Country NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2	<u>/</u>					
NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	NUTS Level 1 NUTS Level 2						
NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 0% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	NUTS Level 2 NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 0% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	NUTS Level 2						
NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 0% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	NUTS Level 3 Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 0% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €							
Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 0% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Phone (office) Fax Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 0% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	NUTS Level 3	_					
Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller Independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller Independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Independent financial controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Independent financial controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Independent financial controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Independent financial controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.	DI ((())				(1 11)		
Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller Independent financial controller Website Contact person Independent financial controller Website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: O% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Email Website Contact person Independent financial controller Independent financial controller Website Contact person Independent financial controller Website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: O% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				(mobile)		
Contact person	Contact person							
Independent financial controller Independent control for section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. Independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Independent financial controller Indep	Independent financial controller Independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Independent financial controller for first level control. Independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Independent financial controller Independent financial controller for first level control. Independent financial controller for first level con							
Independent financial controller Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 0% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Independent financial controller Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 0% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	Website	9					
to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 0% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection. Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: 0% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €							
ERDF co-financing rate: 0% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €	ERDF co-financing rate: 0% Total amount: 0,00 € ERDF Funding: 0,00 €		If alread	dy known (a of the indep	and selection endent cont	is not subject to coller should be	public procurement), the con provided. Otherwise, just indic	tact
			_		0,00	€		
EU National Co-financing: 0,00 €	EU National Co-financing: 0,00 €	ERDF Funding	:					
		EU National Co-financing	:		0,00	€		

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-103-	

Contact details of partner	24
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	·
Email	
Website	
Contact person	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount:	0,00 €
ERDF Funding: EU National Co-financing:	
	-104-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-105-	

Contact details of partner	25
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: ERDF Funding:	0,00 €
EU National Co-financing:	
	-106-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	
-107-	

Contact details of partner	26
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: ERDF Funding:	0,00 €
EU National Co-financing:	
	-108-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-109-	

Contact details of partner	27
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount:	0,00 €
ERDF Funding: EU National Co-financing:	
	-110-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-111-	

Contact details of partner	28
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: ERDF Funding:	0,00 €
EU National Co-financing:	
	-112-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
	_
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-113-	

Contact details of partner	· 29			
Institution (original language)				-
Institution (EN)				ç
Legal status	i			ACTOTIVITE A
Address	i			
Postal code	1			
Town				Ž
Country				
NUTS Level 1				
NUTS Level 2				
NUTS Level 3				
Phone (office)		(mobile)		
Fax	1			
Email				
Website				
Contact person				
	If already known (and selection is	untry specific re is not subject to aller should be p	equirements for first level control. n public procurement), the contact provided. Otherwise, just indicate	
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount:	<u> </u>]		
ERDF Funding:				
EU National Co-financing:	0,00€			
	-114-			

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-115-	

Contact details of partner	30	
Institution (original language)		
Institution (EN)		
Legal status		
Address		
Postal code		
Town		
Country		
NUTS Level 1		
NUTS Level 2		
NUTS Level 3		
Phone (office)	(mobile)	
Fax		
Email		
Website		
Contact person		
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer	
	to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.	
Partner budget (in EUR)		
ERDF co-financing rate:	0%	
Total amount:	0,00 €	
ERDF Funding:	0,00€	
EU National Co-financing:	0,00 €	
	-116-	

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-117-	

Contact details of partner	31
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	·
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate:	
Total amount:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ERDF Funding:	
EU National Co-financing:	0,00€
	-118-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-119-	

Contact details of partner	32
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount:	
ERDF Funding:	0,00€
EU National Co-financing:	0,00€
	-120-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-121-	

Contact details of partner	33
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
Independent financial controller	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: ERDF Funding:	0,00 €
EU National Co-financing:	
	-122-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-123-	

Contact details of partner	34
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: ERDF Funding:	0,00 €
EU National Co-financing:	
	-124-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	_
projects (if any).	i
-125-	

Contact details of partner	35
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate:	
Total amount:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ERDF Funding: EU National Co-financing:	
	-126-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-127-	

Contact details of partner	36
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount:	
ERDF Funding:	
EU National Co-financing:	
	-128-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
	-
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed projects (if any).	_
projects (ii arry).	_
-129-	

Contact details of partner	37
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	·
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate:	
Total amount:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ERDF Funding:	
EU National Co-financing:	0,00 €
	-130-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-131-	

Contact details of partner	38
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
Independent financial controller	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer to the country specific information section on the INTERREG IVC website for further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount: ERDF Funding:	0,00 €
EU National Co-financing:	
	-132-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	
-133-	

Contact details of partner	39
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount:	
ERDF Funding:	
EU National Co-financing:	
	-134-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	_
-135-	

Contact details of partner	40
Institution (original language)	
Institution (EN)	
Legal status	
Address	
Postal code	
Town	
Country	
NUTS Level 1	
NUTS Level 2	
NUTS Level 3	
Phone (office)	(mobile)
Fax	
Email	
Website	
Contact person	
	Please indicate the way you plan to carry out the first level control. Please refer
	further details concerning the country specific requirements for first level control. If already known (and selection is not subject to public procurement), the contact details of the independent controller should be provided. Otherwise, just indicate the type of controller and procedure for selection.
Partner budget (in EUR) ERDF co-financing rate: Total amount:	0%
ERDF Funding:	0,00€
EU National Co-financing:	
	-136-

Please describe the relevance of this partner in the proposed project: What are the partner's competences and experiences in the field tackled? What is the capacity of the partner to directly influence regional / local policies? How will the partner contribute to the content of the cooperation and benefit from it?	Acro
	Acronym: IMEA
	_
Please describe the previous experience of the partner in interregional cooperation and Structural Funds financed	
projects (if any).	_
-137-	